HOUSE BILL NO. 4426

April 13, 2023, Introduced by Rep. Wegela and referred to the Committee on Economic Development and Small Business.

A bill to allow the state of Michigan to enter into the company-specific subsidy interstate compact and for purposes related to the compact.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

Sec. 1. This act may be cited as the "company-specific subsidy
 interstate compact act".

Sec. 2. The company-specific subsidy interstate compact is
hereby enacted into law and entered into with any Midwest state
which legally joins in substantially the following form:
COMPANY-SPECIFIC SUBSIDY INTERSTATE COMPACT

KMN

1

The contracting states agree that:

2 ARTICLE 1: MEMBERSHIP 3 Any Midwest state of the United States may become a member 4 state of this compact by enacting this compact. ARTICLE 2: DEFINITIONS 5 6 As used in this compact: 7 (1) "Company-specific grant" means a disbursement of funds by 8 property, cash, or deferred tax liability by the state government 9 or any subdivision of the state government to a particular company. 10 (2) "Company-specific subsidies" means company-specific grants 11 or company-specific tax incentives. 12 (3) "Company-specific tax incentive" means a change in the general tax rate or valuation offered or presented to a specific 13 14 company that is not available to other similarly-situated 15 companies, including, but not limited to, a tax incentive that is 16 part of a special agreement negotiated with an official of the 17 state or an official of any subdivision of the state government. (4) "Workforce development grants" means grants that train 18 19 employees. ARTICLE 3: FINDINGS 20 21 The member states find that: 22 (1) State governments are caught in a race to the bottom 23 offering ever-larger company-specific tax incentives or grants in 24 an attempt to lure large companies to stay or relocate in their 25 state despite overwhelming evidence that the company-specific 26 subsidies are neither an efficient use of public dollars nor a 27 determining factor in a company's eventual decision where to 28 locate.

29

(2) State governments in the aggregate spend tens of billions

KMN

2

1 annually on company-specific subsidies.

2 (3) Spending those economic development dollars on universal
3 infrastructure such as transportation or education that benefits
4 all employers, not just the few large for-profit companies that
5 negotiate a special subsidy, is a far superior use of state budget
6 resources.

7 (4) The ability of the world's most profitable companies to
8 set off a bidding war, often in secret, between states to package
9 the largest subsidy imaginable in order to lure the company to that
10 state demonstrates the inherently weak bargaining position of
11 states in any company-specific subsidy negotiation, which drives up
12 the prices of these subsidies.

(5) Providing special subsidies for a company puts all the competitors to that company at a disadvantage since they must pay the full tax rate or operative without the benefit of the subsidy, which further exacerbates the largest companies getting even greater market share than they otherwise would if all companies paid the same tax rate.

19 (6) It would be far superior for all employers if states 20 competed for companies based on their overall economic condition 21 that all employers enjoyed, including taxes, infrastructure, 22 workforce, and regulations, and not on a company-specific subsidy 23 package which only benefits a small number of the wealthiest 24 companies.

(7) Despite widespread recognition of the wasteful nature of these company-specific subsidies, a single state is not able to unilaterally end the practice of offering company-specific subsidies as doing so is perceived to put that state at a competitive disadvantage to other states.

3

KMN

(8) In order to set a level playing field and abolish the
 practice of company-specific subsidies, states should enter into
 the compact not to engage in the practice that becomes binding for
 any companies located in any state that is a member of the compact,
 especially among neighboring states, until all 50 states are able
 to join the compact.

7

ARTICLE 4: COMPANY-SPECIFIC SUBSIDIES

8 Each member state agrees to not offer company-specific
9 subsidies for companies currently located in or considering
10 locating in the member state, including, but not limited to, for
11 corporate headquarters, manufacturing facilities, office space, or
12 other real estate developments.

13

ARTICLE 5: EXCLUSIONS

(1) Existing company-specific subsidies are not impacted by this agreement, since this agreement is not retroactive, except that any changes to the terms, including renewals or reenactments, of any existing company-specific subsidies are to be considered new company-specific subsidies and not permitted under this agreement.

19 (2) Workforce development grants are not subject to this
20 compact since the company receiving the grant may benefit, but the
21 employees receiving the training are the largest beneficiary.

ARTICLE 6: WITHDRAWAL

A member state may withdraw from this compact with 6-months'
written notice to the chief executive officer of every other member
state to the compact.

26

22

ARTICLE 7: BOARD

27 (1) The interstate company-specific subsidy board is
28 established upon the second member state entering into this
29 compact. Each member state shall appoint 5 members to the board as

KMN

4

follows: 1 from the chief executive officer; 1 each from the 1 2 majority leader of each legislative chamber; and 1 each from the minority leader of each legislative chamber. If a member state does 3 not have a bicameral legislature, then that member state shall 4 determine how the 4 appointments by its legislative leaders shall 5 6 be made. The board shall convene at least annually, elect officers 7 from its membership, and establish rules and procedures for its 8 governance.

9 (2) The purpose of the board is to determine how this compact 10 can be improved and strengthened by collecting testimony from all 11 interested parties, including representatives of member states; 12 organizations and associations representing state legislators; 13 taxpayers; and subject matter experts. The board may draft and 14 disseminate suggested revisions to this compact from time to time. 15 Enacting section 1. This act does not take effect unless 16 Senate Bill No. or House Bill No. 4425 (request no. 01649'23) 17 of the 102nd Legislature is enacted into law.

5