



Telephone: (517) 373-5383

Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 282 (Substitute S-1 as reported)

Sponsor: Senator Sylvia Santana

Committee: Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection

## **CONTENT**

The bill would amend the Insurance Code to specify that an automobile insurer could not refuse to insure or modify coverage and costs of insurance solely because of an individual's failure to maintain insurance while incarcerated.

The bill would prohibit an automobile insurer from refusing to insure, refusing to continue to insure, limiting coverage available to, charging a reinstatement fee for, or increasing the premiums for automobile insurance for an individual who was an eligible person solely because the individual failed to maintain insurance for a vehicle owned by the individual during the six-month period preceding the application if the individual provided the insurer a certified statement. The individual applying for insurance would have to certify on a form provided by the insurer that the individual was a prisoner in a correctional facility and was released from imprisonment within six-months before the application for insurance, and that to the individual's knowledge, the vehicle was not driven or moved during the six-months preceding the application.

## **BRIEF RATIONALE**

Generally, an owner of a registered motor vehicle must maintain insurance coverage on the vehicle or face certain penalties for a lapse in coverage. According to testimony before the Senate Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection, it is often not possible for many individuals to maintain coverage while incarcerated, and once released, these individuals need reliable methods of transportation to fulfill parole or release requirements such as securing employment. It has been suggested that the penalization for not maintaining coverage is a barrier to reentering society and should be eliminated for the recently incarcerated.

MCL 500.2118 et al. Legislative Analyst: Eleni Lionas

## FISCAL IMPACT

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on State government and no fiscal impact on local units of government. An insurer who violated the bill would be afforded a hearing before the Director of the Department of Insurance and Financial Services. The cost of hearings and associated administrative expenses likely would be sufficiently met by existing appropriations. If the Director determined that a violation of the Act had occurred, the Director could impose a civil fine of not more than \$1,000 per violation, or of not more than \$5,000 if it were determined that the insurer should have reasonably known the insurer was in violation of the Act. There is a \$50,000 cap on civil fines ordered by the Director for these violations. The revenue collected from civil fines is distributed to local libraries and county law libraries.

Date Completed: 11-8-23 Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco, Jr.

. Elizabeth Raczkowski