



Senate Fiscal Agency  
P.O. Box 30036  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

## BILL ANALYSIS



Telephone: (517) 373-5383  
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 175 (as introduced 3-14-23)  
Sponsor: Senator Sylvia Santana  
Committee: Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection

Date Completed: 9-27-23

**CONTENT**

**The bill would amend the General Property Tax Act to allow a local assessing office to treat certain penalties as the personal liability of an individual who failed to notify the local assessing office of a transfer of property ownership and had since transferred such property to a new individual. It also would prescribe how a treasurer could collect and distribute such penalties.**

Section 27a of the Act requires a buyer, grantee, or other transferee of property to notify the appropriate local assessing office within 45 days of the transfer of ownership and specifies that the property's taxable value beginning the year following the transfer is the State equalized value.

Under the Act, a buyer, grantee, or other transferee who fails to give this notice is liable for any additional taxes that would have been levied if the transfer had been recorded and interest and penalty from the date the tax would have been originally levied. If the property is industrial or commercial real property, the penalty is determined by the sale price of the property. If the sale price is \$100.0 million or less, a daily penalty of \$20 is applied after 45 days up to a maximum of \$1,000. If the sale price exceeds \$100.0 million, a penalty of \$20,000 is applied after 45 days, and an additional penalty if the applicable assessing office determines willful neglect.

Additionally, if the property is real property other than property classified as industrial real property or commercial property, a penalty of \$5 per day applies for each separate failure beginning after the 45 days have elapsed, up to a maximum of \$200. The bill would modify this provision and the maximum penalty would be double the amount of additional taxes that could have been levied if the transfer had been recorded.

The bill would specify that the levies described above would only apply if the property was still owned by the buyer, grantee, or other transferee that failed to notify the appropriate assessing office. If the property had subsequently been transferred to a buyer who did notify the appropriate assessing office, the penalties described above would be treated as the personal liability of the prior buyer, grantee, or transferee that failed to notify the assessing office of the prior transfer.

The treasurer of a local tax collecting unit or county treasurer, as applicable, would have to collect those amounts and distribute them in the same manner as other delinquent taxes, interest, and penalties. The governing body of a local tax collecting unit could waive, by resolution, the personal liability of the prior buyer, grantee, or other transferee for the penalties levied as described above for industrial real property, commercial real property, or property that was real property other than industrial real property or commercial property.

MCL 211.27b

Legislative Analyst: Eleni Lionas

## **FISCAL IMPACT**

The bill would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the State and local units of government. It would change the maximum penalty of \$200 to double the additional taxes that would have been levied if the transfer of ownership had been recorded as required under the Act from the date of transfer. It is indeterminate whether this change would bring in more or less money, depending on whether the maximum penalty under the bill would be on average, above or below \$200. This amount would be different for each local government.

Fiscal Analyst: Bobby Canell

SAS\S2324\s175sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.