## Legislative Analysis



# PROPOSAL 22-2 IMPLEMENTATION FOR MILITARY AND OVERSEAS ABSENTEE BALLOTS

http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa

Phone: (517) 373-8080

Senate Bill 259 (S-1) as reported from House committee

Analysis available at http://www.legislature.mi.gov

Sponsor: Sen. Paul Wojno House Committee: Elections

**Senate Committee: Elections and Ethics** 

**Complete to 4-26-23** 

#### **SUMMARY:**

Senate Bill 259 would amend the Michigan Election Law to allow an absentee ballot cast by a military or overseas voter to be tabulated if it was *postmarked* on or before election day and received by a city or township clerk within six days of the election.

**Postmark** would mean any mark applied by the United States Postal Service or other delivery service to an absent voter ballot return envelope, such as a barcode or a tracking mark that indicates when a ballot was mailed.

The Michigan Election Law currently requires these ballots to be counted if they are received before the close of the polls on election day. However, Ballot Proposal 22-2 amended the state constitution to grant military and overseas voters the right to have their absentee ballots counted if the ballot was postmarked on or before election day and received by the appropriate election official within six days of election day. Senate Bill 259 would amend the Michigan Election Law to reflect this change.

Specifically, the bill would provide that if an absent voter ballot return envelope containing a voted ballot cast by a military or overseas voter was postmarked on or before election day and was received in the mail by the appropriate city or township clerk within six days after the election, the clerk would have to consider it timely received. If a city or township clerk receives an absentee ballot return envelope within this deadline but the postmark on the envelope is missing or unclear, the ballot would be timely received if the voter had dated the envelope or the voter certificate on or before election day.

By the seventh day after an election, each city and township clerk would have to forward all timely received ballots cast by military or overseas voters to the appropriate board of county canvassers for tabulation.

Additionally, the list of instructions included with each ballot provided to an absentee voter would have to include information about the extended deadline and specify that an absent voter ballot from a military or overseas voter will not be counted if it is received more than six days after an election or is postmarked after election day.

MCL 168.759a and 168.764a

House Fiscal Agency Page 1 of 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a summary of Proposal 22-2, see <a href="https://www.house.mi.gov/hfa/PDF/Alpha/Ballot\_Proposal\_2\_of\_2022.pdf">https://www.house.mi.gov/hfa/PDF/Alpha/Ballot\_Proposal\_2\_of\_2022.pdf</a>

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

The bill would result in minor costs for the Department of State to update software and the Qualified Voter File to accommodate the change in permitted military postmark dates. Changes proposed by the bill represent a small share of all the changes required by section 4 of Article II of the state constitution of 1963 as amended by Proposal 22-2. A FY 2022-23 supplemental appropriation is included in the Governor's Executive Recommended budget that would provide \$1.3 million GF/GP to make technology updates related to Proposal 22-2. This would cover the costs of the bill if enacted.

The bill would have no fiscal impact on local units of government.

### **POSITIONS:**

Representatives of the following entities testified in support of the bill (4-20-23):

- Michigan Department of State
- Michigan Association of Municipal Clerks
- Promote the Vote

The following entities indicated support for the bill (4-20-23):

- American Civil Liberties Union of Michigan
- Detroit Regional Chamber
- League of Women Voters of Michigan
- Michigan Association of County Clerks
- Michigan Democratic Party
- Protect Democracy
- Secure Democracy
- Voters Not Politicians

A representative of the Michigan Department of Military and Veterans Affairs testified with no position on the bill. (4-20-23)

Legislative Analyst: Holly Kuhn Fiscal Analyst: Michael Cnossen

<sup>■</sup> This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.