

No. 103  
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
**Journal of the Senate**  
102nd Legislature  
REGULAR SESSION OF 2024

---

---

Senate Chamber, Lansing, Thursday, December 5, 2024.

10:00 a.m.

The Senate was called to order by the President pro tempore, Senator Jeremy Moss.

The roll was called by the Secretary of the Senate, who announced that a quorum was present.

Albert—present  
Anthony—present  
Bayer—present  
Bellino—present  
Brinks—present  
Bumstead—present  
Camilleri—present  
Cavanagh—present  
Chang—present  
Cherry—present  
Daley—present  
Damoose—present  
Geiss—present

Hauck—present  
Hertel—present  
Hoitenga—present  
Huizenga—present  
Irwin—present  
Johnson—present  
Klinefelt—present  
Lauwers—present  
Lindsey—present  
McBroom—present  
McCann—present  
McDonald Rivet—present  
McMorrow—present

Moss—present  
Nesbitt—present  
Outman—present  
Polehanki—present  
Runestad—present  
Santana—present  
Shink—present  
Singh—present  
Theis—excused  
Victory—excused  
Webber—present  
Wojno—present

Senator Thomas A. Albert of the 18th District offered the following invocation:

In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. Amen.

Hail, Mary, full of grace, the Lord is with thee. Blessed art thou among women and blessed is the fruit of thy womb, Jesus. Holy Mary, Mother of God, pray for us sinners, now and at the hour of our death. Amen.

In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. Amen.

The President pro tempore, Senator Moss, led the members of the Senate in recital of the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

Senator Polehanki entered the Senate Chamber.

### Motions and Communications

Senators Camilleri and Outman entered the Senate Chamber.

Senator Lauwers moved that Senators Daley, McBroom and Johnson be temporarily excused from today's session.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Lauwers moved that Senators Theis and Victory be excused from today's session.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Singh moved that Senators Anthony, Cavanagh, Geiss, McDonald Rivet, Santana and Cherry be temporarily excused from today's session.

The motion prevailed.

The following communication was received:

Office of Senator Sean McCann

November 26, 2024

I respectfully request that my name be added as a co-sponsor to the following bills:

- Senate Bill 943, sponsored by Senator Polehanki.
- Senate Bill 944, sponsored by Senator Bayer.
- Senate Bill 946, sponsored by Senator Camilleri.
- Senate Bill 947, sponsored by Senator Polehanki.
- Senate Bill 1044, sponsored by Senator Klinefelt.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my office. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,  
Sean McCann  
State Senator  
19th District

The communication was referred to the Secretary for record.

The following communication was received:

Office of Senator Erika Geiss

December 4, 2024

Please add my signature as a co-sponsor to the following bills:

- Senate Bill 1176
- Senate Bill 1177

If there are any questions or issues, do not hesitate to reach out to me or members of my staff.

Sincerely,  
Erika Geiss  
State Senator  
Michigan's 1st District

The communication was referred to the Secretary for record.

Senator Singh moved that the rules be suspended and that the following bill, now on Committee Reports, be placed on the General Orders calendar for consideration today:

**Senate Bill No. 1047**

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Senators Geiss, Santana, Cavanagh and Cherry entered the Senate Chamber.

Senator Singh moved that rule 2.106 be suspended to allow committees to meet during Senate session. The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

**Recess**

Senator Singh moved that the Senate recess subject to the call of the Chair. The motion prevailed, the time being 10:06 a.m.

11:10 a.m.

The Senate was called to order by the President pro tempore, Senator Moss.

During the recess, Senators Johnson, Anthony, McDonald Rivet, Daley and McBroom entered the Senate Chamber.

Senator Singh moved that the rules be suspended and that the following bill, now on Committee Reports, be placed on the General Orders calendar for consideration today:

**Senate Bill No. 40**

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the Senate proceeded to the order of

**General Orders**

Senator Singh moved that the Senate resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole for consideration of the General Orders calendar.

The motion prevailed, and the President pro tempore, Senator Moss, designated Senator Hertel as Chairperson.

After some time spent therein, the Committee arose; and the President pro tempore, Senator Moss, having resumed the Chair, the Committee reported back to the Senate, favorably and without amendment, the following bills:

**Senate Bill No. 910, entitled**

A bill to amend 1975 PA 238, entitled "Child protection law," by amending sections 2, 7j, and 8 (MCL 722.622, 722.627j, and 722.628), section 2 as amended by 2022 PA 67, section 7j as amended by 2022 PA 64, and section 8 as amended by 2022 PA 65.

**Senate Bill No. 1047, entitled**

A bill to amend 1976 PA 390, entitled "Emergency management act," by amending section 18 (MCL 30.418), as amended by 2018 PA 263.

**Senate Bill No. 652, entitled**

A bill to amend 1971 PA 79, entitled "Age of majority act of 1971," by amending sections 2 and 3 (MCL 722.52 and 722.53), section 2 as amended by 1990 PA 104 and section 3 as amended by 2022 PA 170.

**Senate Bill No. 653, entitled**

A bill to amend 1971 PA 79, entitled "Age of majority act of 1971," by amending sections 2 and 3 (MCL 722.52 and 722.53), section 2 as amended by 1990 PA 104 and section 3 as amended by 2022 PA 170. The bills were placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 962, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending sections 28d, 29, 29a, 33, and 62 (MCL 421.28d, 421.29, 421.29a, 421.33, and 421.62), sections 28d and 29 as amended and section 29a as added by 2020 PA 258, section 33 as amended by 2011 PA 269, and section 62 as amended by 2017 PA 231.

Substitute (S-3).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 975, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending section 29 (MCL 421.29), as amended by 2020 PA 258.

Substitute (S-1).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 976, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending sections 11, 11a, 12, 13, 13a, 13b, 13c, 13d, 13e, 13f, 13g, 13i, 13k, 13l, 13m, 14, 15, 15a, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 19a (MCL 421.11, 421.11a, 421.12, 421.13, 421.13a, 421.13b, 421.13c, 421.13d, 421.13e, 421.13f, 421.13g, 421.13i, 421.13k, 421.13l, 421.13m, 421.14, 421.15, 421.15a, 421.16, 421.17, 421.18, 421.19, and 421.19a), section 11 as amended by 2018 PA 72, section 11a as added by 2012 PA 422, section 13 as amended by 2022 PA 96, sections 13a and 13d as amended by 1989 PA 236, sections 13f, 13g, and 13k as amended by 1994 PA 162, section 13l as added by 2002 PA 192, section 13m as amended by 2012 PA 219, section 14 as amended by 1983 PA 164, section 15 as amended by 2017 PA 229, section 15a as added and sections 19 and 19a as amended by 2011 PA 269, section 17 as amended by 2020 PA 258, and section 18 as amended by 1993 PA 296; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

Substitute (S-2).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 981, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending sections 3, 4, 5, 5a, 5b, 6a, 8, 9, 10, and 10a (MCL 421.3, 421.4, 421.5, 421.5a, 421.5b, 421.6a, 421.8, 421.9, 421.10, and 421.10a), section 3 as amended by 2003 PA 174, section 4 as amended and section 5b as added by 2002 PA 192, section 5 as amended by 1983 PA 164, section 5a as amended by 2017 PA 227, section 6a as amended by 2011 PA 269, section 8 as amended by 1996 PA 535, section 10 as amended by 2016 PA 517, and section 10a as added by 2011 PA 268; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

Substitute (S-2).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 1022, entitled**

A bill to amend 1976 PA 331, entitled “Michigan consumer protection act,” by amending the title and sections 2, 4, 5, 10, and 15 (MCL 445.902, 445.904, 445.905, 445.910, and 445.915), the title as amended by 2022 PA 153, section 2 as amended by 2018 PA 189, section 4 as amended by 2014 PA 251, and section 5 as amended by 2020 PA 296, and by adding sections 4a, 5a, 8a, and 21a.

Substitute (S-1).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 1082, entitled**

A bill to regulate the collection, processing, and selling of reproductive health data; to regulate the disclosure of reproductive health data; to require individual consent to collect, process, and sell reproductive health data; to prohibit the use of geofences around facilities that provide reproductive health services; to provide remedies and prescribe civil sanctions; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state governmental officers and entities.

Substitute (S-1).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 651, entitled**

A bill to amend 1915 PA 31, entitled "Youth tobacco act," by amending the title and sections 1, 4, and 5 (MCL 722.641, 722.644, and 722.645), the title as amended by 2019 PA 18, sections 1 and 4 as amended by 2022 PA 167, and section 5 as added by 1988 PA 314, and by adding sections 1a, 1c, 1e, 1g, 1i, 1k, 1m, 1o, and 2f.

Substitute (S-3).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 654, entitled**

A bill to amend 1915 PA 31, entitled "Youth tobacco act," by amending the title and sections 1, 2, and 5 (MCL 722.641, 722.642, and 722.645), the title and section 2 as amended by 2019 PA 18, section 1 as amended by 2022 PA 167, and section 5 as added by 1988 PA 314.

Substitute (S-1).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with amendment, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 861, entitled**

A bill to amend 1893 PA 118, entitled "An act to revise and consolidate the laws relative to state prisons, to state houses of correction, and branches of state prisons and reformatories, and the government and discipline thereof and to repeal all acts inconsistent therewith," (MCL 800.33 to 800.61) by adding section 33a.

The following is the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole:

1. Amend page 5, line 1, after "1." by inserting "This amendatory act takes effect January 1, 2026.

Enacting section 2."

The Senate agreed to the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as amended was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with amendment, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 862, entitled**

A bill to amend 1985 PA 87, entitled "William Van Regenmorter crime victim's rights act," by amending sections 13 and 41 (MCL 780.763 and 780.791), section 41 as amended by 2000 PA 503.

The following is the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole:

1. Amend page 4, line 8, after "1." by inserting "This amendatory act takes effect January 1, 2026.

Enacting section 2."

The Senate agreed to the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as amended was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with amendment, the following bill:  
**Senate Bill No. 863, entitled**

A bill to amend 1927 PA 175, entitled “The code of criminal procedure,” by amending section 12 of chapter IX (MCL 769.12), as amended by 2012 PA 319.

The following is the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole:

1. Amend page 4, line 24, after “1.” by inserting “This amendatory act takes effect January 1, 2026. Enacting section 2.”.

The Senate agreed to the amendment recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as amended was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 864, entitled**

A bill to amend 1953 PA 232, entitled “Corrections code of 1953,” by amending sections 33, 33c, 34, and 35 (MCL 791.233, 791.233c, 791.234, and 791.235), sections 33 and 34 as amended by 2019 PA 14, section 33c as added by 1994 PA 217, and section 35 as amended by 2019 PA 13.

Substitute (S-1).

The following is the amendment to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole:

1. Amend page 23, line 29, by striking out all of Enacting section 1 and inserting:

“Enacting section 1. This amendatory act takes effect January 1, 2026.

Enacting section 2. This amendatory act does not take effect unless Senate Bill No. 861 of the 102nd Legislature is enacted into law.”.

The Senate agreed to the substitute as amended recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The Committee of the Whole reported back to the Senate, favorably and with a substitute therefor, the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 40, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending section 27 (MCL 421.27), as amended by 2020 PA 258.

Substitute (S-3).

The Senate agreed to the substitute recommended by the Committee of the Whole, and the bill as substituted was placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

By unanimous consent the Senate returned to the order of

### **Motions and Communications**

Senator Singh moved that the rules be suspended and that the following bills, now on Third Reading of Bills, be placed on their immediate passage:

**Senate Bill No. 40**

**Senate Bill No. 962**

**Senate Bill No. 975**

**Senate Bill No. 976**

**Senate Bill No. 981**

**Senate Bill No. 1022**

**Senate Bill No. 910**

**Senate Bill No. 1082**

**Senate Bill No. 1047**

**Senate Bill No. 651**

**Senate Bill No. 652**

**Senate Bill No. 653**

**Senate Bill No. 654**

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

By unanimous consent the Senate proceeded to the order of  
**Third Reading of Bills**

Senator Singh moved that the Senate proceed to consideration of the following bills:

- Senate Bill No. 406**
- Senate Bill No. 407**
- Senate Bill No. 804**
- Senate Bill No. 1044**
- Senate Bill No. 1045**
- Senate Bill No. 1008**
- Senate Bill No. 1009**
- Senate Bill No. 1010**
- Senate Bill No. 1083**
- Senate Bill No. 1084**
- Senate Bill No. 1085**
- Senate Bill No. 962**
- Senate Bill No. 975**
- Senate Bill No. 976**
- Senate Bill No. 981**
- Senate Bill No. 1022**
- Senate Bill No. 910**
- Senate Bill No. 1082**
- Senate Bill No. 1047**
- Senate Bill No. 857**
- Senate Bill No. 858**
- Senate Bill No. 651**
- Senate Bill No. 652**
- Senate Bill No. 653**
- Senate Bill No. 654**
- Senate Bill No. 40**

The motion prevailed.

**Recess**

Senator Singh moved that the Senate recess subject to the call of the Chair.  
The motion prevailed, the time being 11:36 a.m.

11:45 a.m.

The Senate was called to order by the President pro tempore, Senator Moss.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 406, entitled**

A bill to amend 2020 PA 68, entitled “Michigan reconnect grant recipient act,” by amending sections 11, 15, and 21 (MCL 390.1711, 390.1715, and 390.1721), as amended by 2022 PA 252.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 439**

**Yeas—29**

Anthony	Cherry	Irwin	Moss
Bayer	Daley	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bellino	Damoose	Lauwers	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McBroom	Shink
Bumstead	Hauck	McCann	Singh

Camilleri  
Cavanagh  
Chang

Hertel  
Huizenga

McDonald Rivet  
McMorrow

Webber  
Wojno

**Nays—7**

Albert  
Hoitenga

Johnson  
Lindsey

Nesbitt  
Outman

Runestad

**Excused—2**

Theis

Victory

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

Senator Anthony asked and was granted unanimous consent to make a statement and moved that the statement be printed in the Journal.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Anthony's statement is as follows:

I rise today in strong support of Senate Bill Nos. 406 and 407 which are bills to codify the age expansion to 21 for the Michigan Reconnect program. As a reminder, this program was launched in 2021 and provides scholarships for adult learners to pursue their associate degree or a skill certificate. Reconnect was created through a bipartisan effort back in 2020, which was the height of the COVID-19 pandemic. Initially it was only open to individuals 25 and older, but to maximize participation for more working adults, we need to codify this scholarship into state statute.

I know personally that there are thousands of men and women across our state who are currently pursuing an additional piece of paper after high school. Now more than ever in order for us to get to our 60x30 goal, it's time to codify the Reconnect program into law. I urge a "yes" vote and ask you all for your support.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 407, entitled**

A bill to amend 2020 PA 84, entitled "Michigan reconnect grant act," by amending section 1 (MCL 390.1701), as amended by 2022 PA 251.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 440**

**Yeas—29**

Anthony  
Bayer  
Bellino  
Brinks  
Bumstead  
Camilleri  
Cavanagh  
Chang

Cherry  
Daley  
Damoose  
Geiss  
Hauck  
Hertel  
Huizenga

Irwin  
Klinefelt  
Lauwers  
McBroom  
McCann  
McDonald Rivet  
McMorrow

Moss  
Polehanki  
Santana  
Shink  
Singh  
Webber  
Wojno



**Nays—7**

Albert  
Hoitenga

Johnson  
Lindsey

Nesbitt  
Outman

Runestad

**Excused—2**

Theis

Victory

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 804, entitled**

A bill to amend 1998 PA 58, entitled “Michigan liquor control code of 1998,” by amending section 513 (MCL 436.1513), as amended by 2024 PA 115.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 441**

**Yeas—35**

Anthony  
Bayer  
Bellino  
Brinks  
Bumstead  
Camilleri  
Cavanagh  
Chang  
Cherry

Daley  
Damoose  
Geiss  
Hauck  
Hertel  
Hoitenga  
Huizenga  
Irwin  
Johnson

Klinefelt  
Lauwers  
Lindsey  
McBroom  
McCann  
McDonald Rivet  
McMorrow  
Moss  
Nesbitt

Outman  
Polehanki  
Runestad  
Santana  
Shink  
Singh  
Webber  
Wojno

**Nays—1**

Albert

**Excused—2**

Theis

Victory

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1044, entitled**

A bill to amend 1846 RS 83, entitled “Of marriage and the solemnization thereof,” by amending section 7 (MCL 551.7), as amended by 2014 PA 278.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 442**

**Yeas—23**

Anthony	Chang	McCann	Santana
Bayer	Cherry	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Brinks	Geiss	McMorrow	Singh
Bumstead	Hertel	Moss	Webber
Camilleri	Irwin	Nesbitt	Wojno
Cavanagh	Klinefelt	Polehanki	

**Nays—13**

Albert	Hauck	Johnson	McBroom
Bellino	Hoitenga	Lauwers	Outman
Daley	Huizenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Damoose			

**Excused—2**

Thisis	Victory
--------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

**Protests**

Senators Daley, Damoose, Albert and Runestad, under their constitutional right of protest (Art. 4, Sec. 18), protested against the passage of Senate Bill No. 1044.

Senators Daley and Albert moved that the statements they made during the discussion of the bill be printed as their reasons for voting “no.”

The motion prevailed.

Senator Daley’s statement, in which Senator Damoose concurred, is as follows:

I believe this legislation represents another blow to the sanctity of marriage, an institution which has already taken a beating in recent years. Allowing just anybody—and that’s what this bill does—to officiate a wedding will further weaken what should be a very serious undertaking. I know that supporters of this bill will point out the current process to become a minister is nothing more than a formality, and that’s right. It’s a \$50 money grab. I respond that rather than encouraging couples to think even less about their officiant, we should be empowering them to be more thoughtful and intentional with their choices. I would be glad to support legislation to require real training to become a wedding officiant, thus adding an extra layer of dignity and importance to the proceedings. This bill moves things in the wrong direction, and I encourage a “no” vote.

Senator Albert’s statement, in which Senator Runestad concurred, is as follows:

This bill eliminates the current requirement that a marriage be officiated by either a magistrate or an ordained minister. The current standards are weakened to permit anyone to officiate a wedding who is 18 years of age or older. Of all the bills that get passed in this lame duck session, this will not be the most consequential by any stretch of the imagination. The practical reason why I am opposed to this bill is that the lowering of standards will increase the probability of administrative mistakes which will be a massive headache for the married couple and for the local clerks.

That being said, it’s worthwhile to take a second and reflect on the bigger picture of what is going on with the institution of marriage as a whole. This bill signifies yet another step in the weakening of marriage and the removal of any standards that are associated with it. For example, take another bill that was passed earlier this year. When I tell someone that a person can now become a legal parent in Michigan without being biologically related, married to the mother, or even going through the adoption process, they find it hard to believe. It’s as if they think I’m being some type of alarmist when I say a person can be a parent by holding out a child as their own for any period of time within the child’s first two years of life. Some may ask what the change to parentage has to do with marriage. To them I say, everything. The main purpose of marriage is to produce children and to raise them together in a stable, loving home. Of course, that is not the only reason. People do get married who cannot have children, but that does not negate the fact that children are the primary fruits that come from marriage as a whole.

What happens when a bill like this weakens the solemnity of the marital union? It becomes like a regular event. It loses some of its significance. I thank God that I have not been closely affected by divorce. I have been married for over 14 years and my parents have been married for over 51 years, but I have seen the devastating effects that divorce has on everyone involved and this is especially tragic for children. Again, this bill is not the most significant we will see before the term is over, but it symbolizes a much larger trend. It signifies the erosion and elimination of any type of standard when it comes to the marital union. This is significant because the family is the bedrock of society as a whole and the weakening of this foundation will have broader implications. I urge a “no” vote.

Senator Klinefelt asked and was granted unanimous consent to make a statement and moved that the statement be printed in the Journal.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Klinefelt’s statement is as follows:

Today I have Senate Bill No. 1044 that would allow for civil celebrants, township supervisors, and state legislators to conduct marriages. This came about as a result of folks deciding that they would like to have one of the most significant days in their lives, they would like to share that with a personal family member, a friend, or somebody like that to conduct the ceremony, and that’s happening more and more often. Because the requirement was a judge, clerk, mayor, or an ordained minister, they were going online and randomly paying \$50 to get the marriage certificate, so we decided to make it open for them to be able to choose who they want to conduct their ceremony on this important day.

We kept judges, mayors, and ordained ministers in the law, and we added civil celebrant. Then, the townships indicated to us that mayors can conduct weddings but township supervisors can’t, so we added township supervisors. Then, finally, by popular demand out of this body, we’ve added state legislators.

I hope you’ll join me in voting for this.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1045, entitled**

A bill to amend 1887 PA 128, entitled “An act establishing the minimum ages for contracting marriages; to require a civil license in order to marry and its registration; to provide for the implementation of federal law; and to provide a penalty for the violation of this act,” by amending sections 1, 4, and 6 (MCL 551.101, 551.104, and 551.106).

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 443**

**Yeas—22**

Anthony	Cherry	McDonald Rivet	Santana
Bayer	Geiss	McMorrow	Shink
Brinks	Hertel	Moss	Singh

Camilleri  
Cavanagh  
Chang

Irwin  
Klinefelt  
McCann

Nesbitt  
Polehanki

Webber  
Wojno

**Nays—14**

Albert  
Bellino  
Bumstead  
Daley

Damoose  
Hauck  
Hoitenga  
Huizenga

Johnson  
Lauwers  
Lindsey

McBroom  
Outman  
Runestad

**Excused—2**

Theis

Victory

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1008, entitled**

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” by amending sections 233, 234, 239, and 240 (MCL 257.233, 257.234, 257.239, and 257.240), section 233 as amended by 2014 PA 290, section 234 as amended by 2002 PA 552, section 239 as amended by 2020 PA 382, and section 240 as amended by 2004 PA 493.

The question being on the passage of the bill,  
Senator Hertel offered the following substitute:  
Substitute (S-2).

The substitute was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 444**

**Yeas—35**

Anthony  
Bayer  
Bellino  
Brinks  
Bumstead  
Camilleri  
Cavanagh  
Chang  
Cherry

Daley  
Damoose  
Geiss  
Hauck  
Hertel  
Hoitenga  
Huizenga  
Irwin  
Johnson

Klinefelt  
Lauwers  
Lindsey  
McBroom  
McCann  
McDonald Rivet  
McMorrow  
Moss  
Nesbitt

Outman  
Polehanki  
Runestad  
Santana  
Shink  
Singh  
Webber  
Wojno

**Nays—1**

Albert



**Roll Call No. 446****Yeas—35**

Anthony	Daley	Klinefelt	Outman
Bayer	Damoose	Lauwers	Polehanki
Bellino	Geiss	Lindsey	Runestad
Brinks	Hauck	McBroom	Santana
Bumstead	Hertel	McCann	Shink
Camilleri	Hoitenga	McDonald Rivet	Singh
Cavanagh	Huizenga	McMorrow	Webber
Chang	Irwin	Moss	Wojno
Cherry	Johnson	Nesbitt	

**Nays—1**

Albert

**Excused—2**

Theis

Victory

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1083, entitled**

A bill to amend 1927 PA 175, entitled “The code of criminal procedure,” by amending section 44 of chapter VII (MCL 767.44).

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 447****Yeas—30**

Anthony	Cherry	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Runestad
Bellino	Hauck	McDonald Rivet	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McMorrow	Shink
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Moss	Singh
Camilleri	Huizenga	Nesbitt	Webber
Cavanagh	Irwin	Outman	Wojno
Chang	Johnson		

**Nays—6**Albert  
DaleyDamoose  
Lauwers

Lindsey

McBroom



The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1085, entitled**

A bill to amend 1931 PA 328, entitled “The Michigan penal code,” by repealing sections 29, 30, 31, and 32 (MCL 750.29, 750.30, 750.31, and 750.32).

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 449**

**Yeas—30**

Anthony	Cherry	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Runestad
Bellino	Hauck	McDonald Rivet	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McMorrow	Shink
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Moss	Singh
Camilleri	Huizenga	Nesbitt	Webber
Cavanagh	Irwin	Outman	Wojno
Chang	Johnson		

**Nays—6**

Albert	Damoose	Lindsey	McBroom
Daley	Lauwers		

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

**Protests**

Senators Albert and Daley, under their constitutional right of protest (Art. 4, Sec. 18), protested against the passage of Senate Bill Nos. 1083, 1084 and 1085.

Senator Albert moved that the statement he made during the discussion of Senate Bill No. 1083 be printed as his reasons for voting “no.”

The motion prevailed.

Senator Albert’s statement, in which Senator Daley concurred, is as follows:

I have given a great deal of thought to Senate Bill Nos. 1083-1085, which would decriminalize adultery in the state of Michigan. Honestly, before seeing these bills, I did not know adultery was a crime. The way the current law works, if one spouse commits adultery, the other spouse can ask the prosecutor to pursue charges. A prosecutor cannot pursue charges without this consent. It is not as if law enforcement officers are out on the streets pursuing violators of the adultery act. If someone were to be convicted, it would be a class H felony. I could not find a single instance of this as a charge or a conviction of this adultery law.



To say the least, I have been very conflicted in trying to decide to be a “yes” or “no” on this bill. From a personal faith perspective, this is as significant of a bill as we could consider. The law on adultery has its roots from Mount Sinai and it was confirmed and strengthened at the Sermon on the Mount.

That being said, while considering how this bill weighs on my conscience, which is important, it’s not all I can consider for a bill of this nature. When trying set civil laws, I have to base my decisions on if there is a compelling state interest. When looking at adultery, it is clear that it greatly hurts everyone involved. It hurts the two committing the act, it hurts the offended spouse, and most importantly, and this is where I think there is a compelling state interest, it hurts the children. I have had friends confide in me before that one of their parents committed adultery when they were a child. To put it mildly, it was a completely devastating moment in their lives, and it clearly is a wound that hurts for the remainder of their lives. When thinking of how to vote on these bills, their sentiments are at the forefront of my mind. Our society is a reflection of its individuals, and the state should advocate for policies that keep the family structure healthy.

There is an ideology propagated today that anything that deals with sexuality should not be dealt with in civil law. If this type of ideology fully takes hold, it would end in the elimination of any type of standard within a society, which would have disastrous consequences for both the individual and society as a whole. Some would argue that since this bill is not being enforced, it is not needed. I would argue that eliminating the standard is not the solution.

In trying to sort out where I would land on voting on this bill, I found I could definitely support lowering the penalty to a civil infraction—again, with the understanding it could only be pursued if the spouse wants criminal charges. There is a precedent for this approach because the penalties have been changed in this act over time. We charge a civil infraction for much less serious offenses like smoking marijuana in public or even failing to report a deer harvest.

Another thing I cannot help but consider is that these bills were not only run in lame duck, but they were also introduced after the election. When something of this sensitivity is hidden, it cannot help but indicate that there is some level of shame associated with these bills. Can anyone in here today among us stand up and defend adultery? No, it is an inherent evil. Some evils that are deeply moral we cannot legislate, and some we can. The test I apply when trying to separate the issues is if there is a compelling state interest. I think there is a compelling state interest here and I urge a “no” vote.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 962, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending sections 28, 28d, 29a, 32a, 33, and 62 (MCL 421.28, 421.28d, 421.29a, 421.32a, 421.33, and 421.62), section 28 as amended by 2022 PA 18, section 28d as amended and section 29a as added by 2020 PA 258, section 32a as amended by 2017 PA 232, section 33 as amended by 2011 PA 269, and section 62 as amended by 2017 PA 231.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 450**

**Yeas—21**

Anthony	Cherry	McBroom	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Irwin	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Klinefelt	Moss	Wojno
Chang			

**Nays—15**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Outman
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Runestad
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Webber
Daley	Huizenga	Nesbitt	



(MCL 421.11, 421.11a, 421.12, 421.13, 421.13a, 421.13b, 421.13c, 421.13d, 421.13e, 421.13f, 421.13g, 421.13i, 421.13k, 421.13l, 421.13m, 421.14, 421.15, 421.15a, 421.16, 421.17, 421.18, 421.19, and 421.19a), section 11 as amended by 2018 PA 72, section 11a as added by 2012 PA 422, section 13 as amended by 2022 PA 96, sections 13a and 13d as amended by 1989 PA 236, sections 13f, 13g, and 13k as amended by 1994 PA 162, section 13l as added by 2002 PA 192, section 13m as amended by 2012 PA 219, section 14 as amended by 1983 PA 164, section 15 as amended by 2017 PA 229, section 15a as added and sections 19 and 19a as amended by 2011 PA 269, section 17 as amended by 2020 PA 258, and section 18 as amended by 1993 PA 296; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 452**

**Yeas—21**

Anthony	Cherry	McBroom	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Irwin	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Klinefelt	Moss	Wojno
Chang			

**Nays—15**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Outman
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Runestad
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Webber
Daley	Huizenga	Nesbitt	

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 981, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending sections 3, 4, 5, 5a, 5b, 6a, 8, 9, 10, and 10a (MCL 421.3, 421.4, 421.5, 421.5a, 421.5b, 421.6a, 421.8, 421.9, 421.10, and 421.10a), section 3 as amended by 2003 PA 174, section 4 as amended and section 5b as added by 2002 PA 192, section 5 as amended by 1983 PA 164, section 5a as amended by 2017 PA 227, section 6a as amended by 2011 PA 269, section 8 as amended by 1996 PA 535, section 10 as amended by 2016 PA 517, and section 10a as added by 2011 PA 268; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 453****Yeas—21**

Anthony	Cherry	McBroom	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Irwin	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Klinefelt	Moss	Wojno
Chang			

**Nays—15**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Outman
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Runestad
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Webber
Daley	Huizenga	Nesbitt	

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1022, entitled**

A bill to amend 1976 PA 331, entitled “Michigan consumer protection act,” by amending the title and sections 2, 4, 5, 10, and 15 (MCL 445.902, 445.904, 445.905, 445.910, and 445.915), the title as amended by 2022 PA 153, section 2 as amended by 2018 PA 189, section 4 as amended by 2014 PA 251, and section 5 as amended by 2020 PA 296, and by adding sections 4a, 5a, 8a, and 21a.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 454****Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber



provide remedies and prescribe civil sanctions; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state governmental officers and entities.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

Senator Lindsey offered the following amendments:

1. Amend page 15, line 3, after "11." by striking out "A" and inserting "(1) Except as otherwise provided in subsection (2), a".

2. Amend page 15, following line 12, by inserting:

"(2) Subsection (1) does not apply to a communication that promotes a free service that provides life-saving care to a pregnant woman or her child."

The question being on the adoption of the amendments,

Senator Lauwers requested the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered, 1/5 of the members present voting therefor.

The amendments were not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 456**

**Yeas—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Nays—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Moss

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The Assistant President pro tempore, Senator Geiss, assumed the Chair.

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 457**

**Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

Senators McMorro, Damoose and Runestad asked and were granted unanimous consent to make statements and moved that the statements be printed in the Journal.

The motion prevailed.

Senator McMorro’s first statement is as follows:

I rise to encourage my colleagues to vote “no” on this amendment because it would create one particular carve-out that would in effect allow one type of organization to follow a woman digitally by tracking her location and serving her with messaging that violates the very kind of safety that we are trying to protect. Nothing in this law as written would prevent such an organization from buying billboards in the area, from buying television ads, radio ads, mailing flyers to people in the area, but what this does protect is people’s location: not allowing organizations to digitally track your whereabouts and then serve you ads or data or messages based on where you are. I would hope that both sides of the aisle and all of my colleagues would really want to limit the powers of tracking on our citizens.

Senator Damoose’s statement is as follows:

This bill took a real U-turn in committee. It started out as a data privacy bill referencing things like apps that track menstrual cycles not being allowed to sell that information to advertisers. I can tell you everybody in the committee was nodding their heads, of course this is a bad idea. I think everybody in here could get behind something like that. It turns out the real heart of this bill is to stop advertising by pro-life groups or people to people who are seeking an abortion. When have we been afraid of information? When has presenting alternatives, one side of the enshrined idea of choice, been something to fear? Even more, as somebody who spent a career in advertising and marketing, it is wise to remember the great protections our advertisers have that are covered by our First Amendment rights. Even the prohibition on advertising alcohol to minors is at its core a voluntary effort by the industry.

As such, I believe this law will ultimately be deemed unconstitutional based on the First Amendment freedom of speech laws and on religious freedom laws. For many people of faith, activism to support the choice of life is part of the free exercise of their religion which is also protected by the First Amendment. I can advertise whatever I want, and a government cannot tell me I cannot do that. We do not have the right to prevent targeting certain locations to stop advertising within those boundaries. Private platforms, by contrast, can do whatever they want, and we all see that when we advertise political advertising. Google restricts targeting for political ads and that’s their right—they’re a private company—but the government, as a government entity, we cannot do such things. There isn’t any other such protected space in the country where advertisers cannot share words. I’m not so sure what’s so special about this industry and these locations that we should establish something entirely new in the history of the country.

Senator McMorrow’s second statement is as follows:

I rise to encourage my colleagues to vote “yes” on Senate Bill No. 1082 which, would do a great service to the residents of Michigan in protecting some of their most sensitive data and their locations from being used against them. When I started talking about this legislation, which would put regulations in place to protect and prevent the misuse and sale of reproductive health data, I cannot tell you how many women were alarmed in not realizing these protections were already in place. Many women and many families, when they are trying to get pregnant, utilize many of the services that technology has now made available for us such as period tracker apps, smart watches, to track menstrual cycles, to work with their OB/GYNs on sharing data that can help to mitigate some of the worst pain, cramping, side effects of menstrual cycles that have just become debilitating, not realizing that when they enter this data or when they use these trackers, that companies are too often selling that data to advertisers so that those advertisers now know the most sensitive details about an individual’s life.

I think a lot of the perception is that because this is medical data, that my voluntarily entering it into a service that is supposed to provide me with a service in return means that my data is protected. Far too often, that is not the case. There have been multiple lawsuits and multiple cases in front of the FTC related to period tracker apps that have sold this data, even when the companies have told users that they haven’t and that it’s gotten into the wrong hands. Women are now encouraged to use these apps even to report such things as miscarriages—again, as a tool to better understand your own body so you can work with your doctor to really get to a place where you can bring a child into this world or live a daily life that is free from pain and some of the side effects that too many women suffer during their menstrual cycles.

There has also been a scourge of companies using your direct location to track you or collect information on you. I don’t think people realize how much information biometric data is in our watches and our phones that can be used against us. I hear what my colleague across the other side of the aisle is saying when it comes to freedom of speech, but as I spoke to the previous amendment, nothing in this legislation prevents freedom of speech. It does not prevent billboards, TV ads, mailers, flyers being handed out, even digital advertisements that for example may target all women within a certain area. What it does prevent is companies or governments misusing or tracking your exact individual location as you enter your doctor’s office or a women’s health clinic to target you with information or to collect information on you. We have never allowed—never in the guise of freedom of speech—an advertiser to follow you into your doctor’s office. We would not allow it. That is a private space where you are having private conversations with you, your spouse, and your doctor, and that is what in this new digital reality this legislation is recreating, those protections that already exist when you enter that building to ensure those are protected in the digital space as well.

I am thankful to all of the policy staff, my staff, who spent hours upon hours upon hours working with stakeholders to get this legislation to a place where we can proudly tell all the residents of Michigan that we are protecting your most sensitive data so you can take advantage of technology without fear of retribution.

Senator Runestad’s statement is as follows:

As was described by my colleague from the 37th District, this started off as one thing, morphed into something else. Talking to all the stakeholders, from what I understand over here, there was a lot of stakeholders over here who were not consulted. I see this all the time, especially in lame duck: ramming stuff through that there’s not a lot of thought in this. The idea that this was simply preventing the tracking of individuals by their phone as I described earlier, it’s vastly, vastly broader than that.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 1047, entitled**

A bill to amend 1976 PA 390, entitled “Emergency management act,” by amending section 18 (MCL 30.418), as amended by 2018 PA 263.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 458**

**Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno



**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 857, entitled**

A bill to amend 1927 PA 372, entitled “An act to regulate and license the selling, purchasing, possessing, and carrying of certain firearms, gas ejecting devices, and electro-muscular disruption devices; to prohibit the buying, selling, or carrying of certain firearms, gas ejecting devices, and electro-muscular disruption devices without a license or other authorization; to provide for the forfeiture of firearms and electro-muscular disruption devices under certain circumstances; to provide for penalties and remedies; to provide immunity from civil liability under certain circumstances; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state and local agencies; to prohibit certain conduct against individuals who apply for or receive a license to carry a concealed pistol; to make appropriations; to prescribe certain conditions for the appropriations; and to repeal all acts and parts of acts inconsistent with this act,” by amending section 5o (MCL 28.425o), as amended by 2017 PA 95.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 459**

**Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 858, entitled**

A bill to amend 1931 PA 328, entitled “The Michigan penal code,” by amending section 234d (MCL 750.234d), as amended by 1994 PA 158.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 460****Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

**Protests**

Senator Bellino, under his constitutional right of protest (Art. 4, Sec. 18), protested against the passage of Senate Bill Nos. 857 and 858 and moved that the statement he made during the discussion of Senate Bill No. 857 be printed as his reasons for voting “no.”

The motion prevailed.

Senator Bellino’s statement is as follows:

By now, I shouldn’t be surprised by the constant attacks on the Second Amendment rights of human beings, and I’m really not surprised, but what does surprise me is Democrats’ attempt to carve out special rules. As the saying goes, Rules for thee and not for me. It kind of sounds like the mantra for the Ingham County Clerk.

While the Governor and lawmakers are privileged to be protected by armed officers—and even carry themselves—here at the Capitol, law-abiding citizens are not granted that same right. Thankfully, there has never been an incident of gun violence here at the Capitol. Sadly, I cannot say that about so-called “gun-free zones.” Because, Madam President, criminals don’t care where they’re at. Anyone brandishing a weapon in a threatening manner in the Capitol should, and is, being held accountable under existing laws. We should instead be focusing our energy and resources on measures that continue making our local communities safer.

I urge all of my colleagues to vote “no” on these bills.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 651, entitled**

A bill to amend 1915 PA 31, entitled “Youth tobacco act,” by amending the title and sections 1, 4, and 5 (MCL 722.641, 722.644, and 722.645), the title as amended by 2019 PA 18, sections 1 and 4 as amended by 2022 PA 167, and section 5 as added by 1988 PA 314, and by adding sections 1a, 1c, 1e, 1g, 1i, 1k, and 1o.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 461**

**Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 652, entitled**

A bill to amend 1971 PA 79, entitled “Age of majority act of 1971,” by amending sections 2 and 3 (MCL 722.52 and 722.53), section 2 as amended by 1990 PA 104 and section 3 as amended by 2022 PA 170.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 462****Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 653, entitled**

A bill to amend 1971 PA 79, entitled “Age of majority act of 1971,” by amending sections 2 and 3 (MCL 722.52 and 722.53), section 2 as amended by 1990 PA 104 and section 3 as amended by 2022 PA 170.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 463****Yeas—20**

Anthony	Chang	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Cherry	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Irwin	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—16**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Nesbitt
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Outman
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Runestad
Daley	Huizenga	McBroom	Webber



The following bill was read a third time:

**Senate Bill No. 40, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled “Michigan employment security act,” by amending section 27 (MCL 421.27), as amended by 2020 PA 258.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 465**

**Yeas—21**

Anthony	Cherry	McBroom	Polehanki
Bayer	Geiss	McCann	Santana
Brinks	Hertel	McDonald Rivet	Shink
Camilleri	Irwin	McMorrow	Singh
Cavanagh	Klinefelt	Moss	Wojno
Chang			

**Nays—15**

Albert	Damoose	Johnson	Outman
Bellino	Hauck	Lauwers	Runestad
Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Webber
Daley	Huizenga	Nesbitt	

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

The Senate agreed to the title of the bill.

**Protests**

Senator Albert, under his constitutional right of protest (Art. 4, Sec. 18), protested against the passage of Senate Bill Nos. 962, 975, 976, 981, and 40 and moved that the statement he made during the discussion of Senate Bill No. 962 be printed as his reasons for voting “no.”

The motion prevailed.

Senator Albert’s statement is as follows:

The focus of this legislation should be on making the unemployment benefits system easier and quicker for people to navigate. The focus should be on oversight of UIA and taking steps to prevent potential fraud. In short, the focus should be on the multitude of problems with the claims process that were exposed during the pandemic.

This legislation has some positive elements worthy of broad bipartisan support, but in other ways it falls short and does not do enough to address the problems that surfaced during and since the pandemic. Overall, it is a missed opportunity, and the biggest miss is the tie-bar to Senate Bill No. 40, which would drastically increase the amount of benefits and extend the number of weeks a person could receive employment benefits.

First off, while the unemployment rate has ticked up a bit in the second half of this year, many job providers have been and still are struggling to fill vacancies. The average unemployment benefits recipient was on the unemployment system for roughly 11 weeks as of September, significantly less than the minimum of 26 weeks called for in Senate Bill No. 40, and even substantially less than the maximum 20 weeks now in state law. That being said, a third of the claimants do use the full 20 weeks right now.

Of far greater significance is the provision which would dramatically increase the weekly benefits for unemployment. Unemployment benefits don't magically appear at no cost. It's not free money. The unemployment system is 100 percent funded by employers. They pay taxes on their payroll to fund the system. Dramatically raising the amount and the length of unemployment benefits almost certainly would lead to higher state unemployment taxes for businesses than they would otherwise face. That risk certainly would increase in the event of a recession. We should not be adopting policies that further raise costs for job providers when they are already reeling from the same inflationary pressures and other economic factors that all Michiganders have faced for the last few years.

Before the pandemic, Michigan's unemployment insurance trust fund had a balance of over \$4 billion, and ideally we would return there. The trust dipped below \$1 billion during the pandemic and is still being rebuilt, at roughly \$2.8 billion as of September of this year. Adding significant costs to this system would have repercussions that would hurt job providers, and as a result would ultimately hurt employees and customers as well.

Please vote "no" on this series of bills and return the focus to improvements that are needed to help navigate the UIA system. Do not support a bill that would increase the cost of doing business and therefore lead to fewer job opportunities in our state. Thank you and I urge a "no" vote.

By unanimous consent the Senate returned to the order of  
**Messages from the House**

**Senate Bill No. 127, entitled**

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled "Income tax act of 1967," (MCL 206.1 to 206.847) by adding section 261.

The House of Representatives has substituted (H-1) the bill.

The House of Representatives has passed the bill as substituted (H-1), ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

Pending the order that, under rule 3.202, the bill be laid over one day, Senator Singh moved that the rule be suspended.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

The question being on concurring in the substitute made to the bill by the House, Senator Singh offered the following substitute to the House substitute:

Substitute (S-1).

The substitute was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

The question being on concurring in the House substitute as substituted,

The substitute was concurred in, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 466**

**Yeas—28**

Albert	Chang	Irwin	Outman
Anthony	Cherry	Klinefelt	Polehanki
Bayer	Daley	Lauwers	Santana
Bellino	Damoose	McCann	Shink
Brinks	Geiss	McDonald Rivet	Singh
Camilleri	Hertel	McMorrow	Webber
Cavanagh	Huizenga	Moss	Wojno

**Nays—8**

Bumstead	Hoitenga	Lindsey	Nesbitt
Hauck	Johnson	McBroom	Runestad

**Excused—2**

Theis	Victory
-------	---------

**Not Voting—0**

In The Chair: Geiss

Senator Singh moved that the Senate proceed to consideration of the following bill:

**Senate Bill No. 504**

The motion prevailed.

**Senate Bill No. 504, entitled**

A bill to amend 1939 PA 3, entitled “An act to provide for the regulation and control of public and certain private utilities and other services affected with a public interest within this state; to provide for alternative energy suppliers; to provide for licensing; to include municipally owned utilities and other providers of energy under certain provisions of this act; to create a public service commission and to prescribe and define its powers and duties; to abolish the Michigan public utilities commission and to confer the powers and duties vested by law on the public service commission; to provide for the powers and duties of certain state governmental officers and entities; to provide for the continuance, transfer, and completion of certain matters and proceedings; to abolish automatic adjustment clauses; to prohibit certain rate increases without notice and hearing; to qualify residential energy conservation programs permitted under state law for certain federal exemption; to create a fund; to encourage the utilization of resource recovery facilities; to prohibit certain acts and practices of providers of energy; to allow for the securitization of stranded costs; to reduce rates; to provide for appeals; to provide appropriations; to declare the effect and purpose of this act; to prescribe remedies and penalties; and to repeal acts and parts of acts,” by amending section 10gg (MCL 460.10gg), as added by 2018 PA 348.

The House of Representatives has passed the bill and ordered that it be given immediate effect.

The bill was referred to the Secretary for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

**Senate Bill No. 740, entitled**

A bill to amend 2016 PA 407, entitled “Skilled trades regulation act,” by amending section 1117 (MCL 339.6117) and by adding section 1117a.

The House of Representatives has passed the bill, ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The Senate agreed to the full title.

The bill was referred to the Secretary for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

**Recess**

Senator Singh moved that the Senate recess subject to the call of the Chair.

The motion prevailed, the time being 12:56 p.m.

1:31 p.m.

Pursuant to rule 1.101, in the absence of the Presiding Officers, the Senate was called to order by the Secretary of the Senate.

By unanimous consent the Senate proceeded to the order of

**Introduction and Referral of Bills**

Senator Chang introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1182, entitled**

A bill to amend 1956 PA 218, entitled “The insurance code of 1956,” (MCL 500.100 to 500.8302) by adding section 3406cc.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Health Policy.



Senator Chang introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1183, entitled**

A bill to amend 1939 PA 280, entitled “The social welfare act,” (MCL 400.1 to 400.119b) by adding section 109p.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Health Policy.

Senator Polehanki introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1184, entitled**

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” (MCL 257.1 to 257.923) by adding section 811kk.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Senators Webber, Bellino, Lauwers, Wojno, Chang, Klinefelt and Damoose introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1185, entitled**

A bill to amend 1972 PA 106, entitled “Highway advertising act of 1972,” by amending section 7b (MCL 252.307b), as added by 2014 PA 2.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

Senator Cherry introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1186, entitled**

A bill to amend 2023 PA 10, entitled “An act to require prevailing wages and fringe benefits on state projects; to establish the requirements and responsibilities of contracting agents and bidders; to make appropriations for the implementation of this act; and to prescribe penalties,” by amending section 9 (MCL 408.1109).

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Labor.

Senators McMorrow, McDonald Rivet, Irwin and Hertel introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1187, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled “Revised judicature act of 1961,” by amending section 5851b (MCL 600.5851b), as added by 2018 PA 183.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators McDonald Rivet, McMorrow, Irwin and Hertel introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1188, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled “Revised judicature act of 1961,” by amending section 5805 (MCL 600.5805), as amended by 2018 PA 183.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators Hertel, McMorrow, McDonald Rivet and Irwin introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1189, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled “Revised judicature act of 1961,” by amending section 6452 (MCL 600.6452), as amended by 2020 PA 44.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators Polehanki, McMorrow, McDonald Rivet, Irwin and Hertel introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1190, entitled**

A bill to amend 1927 PA 175, entitled “The code of criminal procedure,” by amending section 24 of chapter VII (MCL 767.24), as amended by 2018 PA 182.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators Irwin, McMorrow, McDonald Rivet and Hertel introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1191, entitled**

A bill to amend 1964 PA 170, entitled “An act to make uniform the liability of municipal corporations, political subdivisions, and the state, its agencies and departments, officers, employees, and volunteers thereof, and members of certain boards, councils, and task forces when engaged in the exercise or discharge of a governmental function, for injuries to property and persons; to define and limit this liability; to define and limit the liability of the state when engaged in a proprietary function; to authorize the purchase of liability insurance to protect against loss arising out of this liability; to provide for defending certain claims made against public officers, employees, and volunteers and for paying damages sought or awarded against them; to provide for the legal defense of public officers, employees, and volunteers; to provide for reimbursement of public officers and employees for certain legal expenses; and to repeal acts and parts of acts,” by amending section 7 (MCL 691.1407), as amended by 2013 PA 173, and by adding section 7d.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators Singh, McMorrow, McDonald Rivet, Irwin and Hertel introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1192, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled “Revised judicature act of 1961,” by amending section 6431 (MCL 600.6431), as amended by 2020 PA 42.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety.

Senators Singh and Moss introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1193, entitled**

A bill to amend 2019 PA 149, entitled “Lawful sports betting act,” by amending sections 14 and 15 (MCL 432.414 and 432.415).

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

Senators Moss and Singh introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1194, entitled**

A bill to amend 2019 PA 152, entitled “Lawful internet gaming act,” by amending sections 14 and 15 (MCL 432.314 and 432.315).

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

Senator Wojno introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1195, entitled**

A bill to amend 1966 PA 293, entitled “An act to provide for the establishment of charter counties; to provide for the election of charter commissioners; to prescribe their powers and duties; to prohibit certain acts of a county board of commissioners after the approval of the election of a charter commission; to prescribe the mandatory and permissive provisions of a charter; to provide for the exercise by a charter county of certain powers whether or not authorized by its charter; and to prescribe penalties and provide remedies,” by amending sections 4, 5, 12, and 14 (MCL 45.504, 45.505, 45.512, and 45.514), section 4 as amended by 2014 PA 309, section 5 as amended by 1980 PA 7, and section 14 as amended by 2021 PA 121.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Elections and Ethics.

Senators Moss, McBroom and Singh introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1196, entitled**

A bill to amend 2023 PA 281, entitled “Public officers financial disclosure act,” by amending sections 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, and 15 (MCL 15.703, 15.705, 15.707, 15.711, 15.713, and 15.715).

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Oversight.

Senators McBroom, Moss and Singh introduced

**Senate Bill No. 1197, entitled**

A bill to amend 2023 PA 282, entitled “Candidate for office financial disclosure act,” by amending sections 3, 5, 7, 11, and 13 (MCL 169.303, 169.305, 169.307, 169.311, and 169.313).

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Oversight.



The Committee on Health Policy reported

**Senate Bill No. 973, entitled**

A bill to amend 1956 PA 218, entitled “The insurance code of 1956,” (MCL 500.100 to 500.8302) by adding section 3406jj.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-3) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Kevin Hertel  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Hertel, Santana, Wojno, Cherry, Klinefelt, Geiss, Webber, Hauck and Huizenga

Nays: None

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

The Committee on Health Policy reported

**Senate Bill No. 974, entitled**

A bill to amend 1939 PA 280, entitled “The social welfare act,” (MCL 400.1 to 400.119b) by adding section 109q.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Kevin Hertel  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Hertel, Santana, Wojno, Cherry, Klinefelt, Geiss, Webber, Hauck and Huizenga

Nays: None

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

The Committee on Health Policy reported

**Senate Bill No. 993, entitled**

A bill to amend 1956 PA 218, entitled “The insurance code of 1956,” (MCL 500.100 to 500.8302) by adding section 3406kk.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Kevin Hertel  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Hertel, Santana, Wojno, Cherry, Klinefelt, Geiss, Webber, Hauck and Huizenga

Nays: None

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

#### COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Health Policy submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, December 4, 2024, at 8:30 a.m., Room 1100, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators Hertel (C), Santana, Wojno, Cherry, Klinefelt, Geiss, Webber, Hauck, Huizenga and Runestad

The Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection reported

**Senate Bill No. 954, entitled**

A bill to prohibit excessive pricing for certain lodgings during a declared state of emergency; to provide remedies and penalties; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and entities.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Mary Cavanagh  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Cavanagh, Irwin, McCann, Bayer and Camilleri

Nays: Senators Huizenga and Daley

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

The Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection reported

**Senate Bill No. 955, entitled**

A bill to prohibit excessive pricing for certain commodities and emergency services and supplies during a declared state of emergency; to provide remedies and penalties; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and entities.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Mary Cavanagh  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Cavanagh, Irwin, McCann, Bayer and Camilleri

Nays: Senators Huizenga and Daley

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

The Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection reported

**Senate Bill No. 956, entitled**

A bill to prohibit excessive pricing for certain energy products and services during a period of market disruption; to provide remedies and penalties; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and entities.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

Mary Cavanagh  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Cavanagh, Irwin, McCann, Bayer and Camilleri

Nays: Senators Huizenga and Daley

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

#### COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Finance, Insurance, and Consumer Protection submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, December 4, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., Room 1200, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators Cavanagh (C), Irwin, McCann, Bayer, Camilleri, Huizenga and Daley

Excused: Senator Theis

The Committee on Appropriations reported

**Senate Bill No. 1047, entitled**

A bill to amend 1976 PA 390, entitled "Emergency management act," by amending section 18 (MCL 30.418), as amended by 2018 PA 263.

With the recommendation that the bill pass.

The committee further recommends that the bill be given immediate effect.

Sarah Anthony  
Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Anthony, McCann, McDonald Rivet, Cherry, Bayer, Santana, Shink, Irwin, Hertel, Camilleri, Klinefelt, McMorrow and Cavanagh

Nays: Senators Bumstead, Albert, Damoose, Huizenga and Outman

The bill was referred to the Committee of the Whole.

The Committee on Appropriations reported

**Senate Bill No. 1165, entitled**

A bill to amend 1945 PA 327, entitled "Aeronautics code of the state of Michigan," by amending sections 76 and 77 (MCL 259.76 and 259.77), section 76 as amended by 1988 PA 391 and section 77 as amended by 1996 PA 370.

With the recommendation that the bill pass.

The committee further recommends that the bill be given immediate effect.

Sarah Anthony  
Chairperson

## To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Anthony, McCann, McDonald Rivet, Cherry, Bayer, Santana, Shink, Irwin, Hertel, Camilleri, Klinefelt, McMorrow and Cavanagh

Nays: Senators Bumstead, Albert, Damoose, Huizenga and Outman

The bill was referred to the Committee of the Whole.

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Appropriations submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, December 4, 2024, at 2:00 p.m., Harry T. Gast Appropriations Room, 3rd Floor, Capitol Building

Present: Senators Anthony (C), McCann, McDonald Rivet, Cherry, Bayer, Santana, Shink, Irwin, Hertel, Camilleri, Klinefelt, McMorrow, Cavanagh, Bumstead, Albert, Damoose, Huizenga and Outman

Excused: Senator Theis

The Committee on Labor reported

**Senate Bill No. 40, entitled**

A bill to amend 1936 (Ex Sess) PA 1, entitled "Michigan employment security act," by amending section 27 (MCL 421.27), as amended by 2020 PA 258.

With the recommendation that the substitute (S-3) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

John Cherry  
Chairperson

## To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators Cherry, Camilleri and Cavanagh

Nays: Senator Albert

The bill and the substitute recommended by the committee were referred to the Committee of the Whole.

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Labor submitted the following:

Meeting held on: Thursday, December 5, 2024, at 8:30 a.m., Room 1300, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators Cherry (C), Camilleri, Cavanagh and Albert

Senator Singh moved that the Senate adjourn.

The motion prevailed, the time being 1:37 p.m.

The Secretary of the Senate declared the Senate adjourned until Tuesday, December 10, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.

DANIEL OBERLIN  
Secretary of the Senate