

PROHIBIT DRUG MASKING PRODUCTS

Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

Senate Bill 134 (H-1) as referred to second House committee

Sponsor: Sen. Curtis S. VanderWall

1st House Committee: Health Policy

2nd House Committee: Judiciary

Senate Committee: Health Policy and Human Services

Complete to 6-6-22

Analysis available at
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

SUMMARY:

Senate Bill 134 would add a new section to the Michigan Penal Code to make it a criminal offense to distribute, deliver, sell, or possess with intent to distribute, deliver, or sell a *drug masking product*. A violation would be a misdemeanor punishable by imprisonment for up to one year or a fine of up to \$1,000, or both.

Drug masking product would include *synthetic urine*, human urine, a substance designed to be added to human urine, or a substance designed to be added to or used on human hair or oral fluid, for the purpose of defrauding an alcohol or drug screening test.

Synthetic urine would mean a substance designed to simulate the composition, chemical properties, physical appearance, or physical properties of human urine.

Proposed MCL 750.410c

BRIEF DISCUSSION:

Many occupations and professions require drug testing as part of the job application process or as a continuing condition of employment. As many drugs can impact a person's judgment or physical dexterity, impairment from controlled substances can result in injuries or death to the employee or to others from the operation of vehicles, tools, or machinery. Although the number of companies requiring drug testing has increased over the past decade, the number of positive tests has decreased. According to committee testimony, this is believed to be in part because employees who are regularly subjected to random drug tests are less likely to abuse drugs and in part because of the increasing use of fake urine and adulterants to disguise or break down drugs in a person's urine or saliva. Reportedly, the incident of invalid specimens has doubled over the last 10 years.

Such adulterants are easily obtained over the internet and at local retail outlets. Synthetic urine, a combination of chemicals and salts found in human urine, is sold in packaging easy to sneak into a stall and swapped for the real thing. Special shampoos are offered to make toxins in hair harder or impossible to detect. Reportedly, the use of masking products for urine, hair, and oral fluids is continuing to increase, putting the safety of the public and fellow employees at risk by not screening out those who operate machinery, tools, and vehicles while under the influence of controlled substances or alcohol. Some employers now conduct supervised urine tests to catch cheaters, which not only raises privacy concerns but is a more costly type of

testing to conduct. Some feel that making it illegal to sell, deliver, or distribute products whose sole purpose is to defraud drug tests would help increase public safety. It has been suggested that Michigan join with the 18 states that have enacted some form of prohibition on products used to mask drugs in tests.

Note that the bill would not, contrary to some media reports, prohibit or penalize an individual from buying, possessing, or using a drug masking product to defraud a mandatory drug test. Instead, the bill would address those who sell, distribute, or deliver such products or possess a product with the intent to do so. The bill is not expected to stop all cheating, but it is thought that going after those who would profit by selling and distributing products to defraud drug tests should make it harder for individuals to access such products and thereby mitigate the problem. In addition, the bill appears to be limited to substances used to replace, or change the chemical makeup of, the urine or saliva of the test subject and so may not apply to the sale, delivery, or distribution of cleansing and detoxifying products sold as masking agents. Also used to defraud a drug test, these products use a combination of diet, capsules, or liquid cleansers containing various vitamins, herbs, and other ingredients to quickly flush certain drugs, including THC, from a person's body either permanently or for a small window of several hours for the purpose of passing a drug test.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Senate Bill 134 would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on local units of government. The number of convictions that would result under provisions of the bill is not known. Violations would be misdemeanors, and new misdemeanor convictions would result in increased costs related to county jails and/or local misdemeanor probation supervision. Costs of local incarceration in county jails and local misdemeanor probation supervision, and how those costs are financed, vary by jurisdiction. The fiscal impact on local court systems would depend on how provisions of the bill affected court caseloads and related administrative costs. It is difficult to project the actual fiscal impact to courts due to variables such as law enforcement practices, prosecutorial practices, judicial discretion, case types, and complexity of cases. Any increase in penal fine revenue would increase funding for public and county law libraries, which are the constitutionally designated recipients of those revenues.

POSITIONS:

A representative of Quest Diagnostics testified in support of the bill. (5-19-22)

The Michigan Manufacturers Association indicated support for the bill. (5-19-22)

Legislative Analyst: Susan Stutzky
Fiscal Analyst: Robin Risko

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.