

**No. 58**  
**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**JOURNAL**  
**OF THE**  
**House of Representatives**  
**98th Legislature**  
**REGULAR SESSION OF 2015**

---

---

House Chamber, Lansing, Wednesday, June 10, 2015.

1:30 p.m.

The House was called to order by the Speaker Pro Tempore.

The roll was called by the Clerk of the House of Representatives, who announced that a quorum was present.

Afendoulis—present	Franz—present	Kosowski—present	Potvin—present
Banks—present	Gamrat—present	LaFontaine—present	Price—present
Barrett—present	Garcia—present	Lane—present	Pscholka—present
Bizon—present	Garrett—present	Lauwers—present	Rendon—present
Brinks—present	Gay-Dagnogo—present	LaVoy—present	Roberts, B.—present
Brunner—present	Geiss—present	Leonard—present	Roberts, S.—present
Bumstead—present	Gardon—present	Leutheuser—present	Robinson—excused
Byrd—present	Glenn—present	Liberati—present	Runestad—present
Callton—present	Goike—present	Love—present	Rutledge—present
Canfield—present	Graves—present	Lucido—present	Santana—present
Chang—present	Greig—present	Lyons—present	Schor—present
Chatfield—present	Greimel—present	Maturen—present	Sheppard—present
Chirkun—present	Guerra—present	McBroom—present	Singh—present
Clemente—present	Heise—present	McCready—present	Smiley—present
Cochran—present	Hoadley—present	Miller, A.—present	Somerville—present
Cole—present	Hooker—present	Miller, D.—present	Talabi—present
Cotter—present	Hovey-Wright—present	Moss—present	Tedder—present
Courser—present	Howrylak—present	Muxlow—present	Theis—present
Cox—present	Hughes—present	Neeley—present	Townsend—present
Crawford—present	Iden—present	Nesbitt—present	Vaupel—present
Darany—present	Inman—present	Outman—present	VerHeulen—present
Dianda—present	Irwin—present	Pagan—present	Victory—present
Dillon—present	Jacobsen—present	Pagel—present	Webber—present
Driskell—present	Jenkins—present	Pettalia—present	Wittenberg—present
Durhal—present	Johnson—present	Phelps—present	Yanez—present
Faris—present	Kelly—present	Plawecki—present	Yonker—present
Farrington—present	Kesto—present	Poleski—present	Zemke—present
Forlini—present	Kivela—present		

e/d/s = entered during session

Rep. Aric Nesbitt, from the 66th District, offered the following invocation:

“Loving and Gracious Father,

It is with humble hearts that we come before You today to ask for Your blessing and continued guidance in our daily lives.

We thank You for granting us all the opportunity to live and serve in a country conceived in Liberty, where we have the freedom to openly proclaim Your Good News, adore Your wonderful creation, and raise our families.

That freedom, bestowed upon us by You through the notion of Free Will, can be displayed no better than here in this great land.

2 Chronicles 7:14 says,

<sup>14</sup> if my people, who are called by my name, will humble themselves and pray and seek my face and turn from their wicked ways, then I will hear from heaven, and I will forgive their sin and will heal their land.

Lord, give us the strength to adhere to Your work, serve our neighbors, treat them as we wish to be treated, and Lord, please give our leaders the courage to do the same.

We humbly request that You watch over the leaders of the great land and grant them the necessary wisdom, patience, and love as they continue to make decisions that will lead toward justice for all and peace between all peoples. It is in Your eternal name that we offer up this petition and ask for Your blessing.

In the Lord’s name we pray Amen.”

---

The Speaker Pro Tempore called Associate Speaker Pro Tempore Franz to the Chair.

---

Rep. Singh moved that Rep. Robinson be excused from today’s session.

The motion prevailed.

### Motions and Resolutions

Reps. Darany, Plawecki, Greig, Heise, LaVoy and Phelps offered the following resolution:

**House Resolution No. 99.**

A resolution to extend best wishes to Muslims in Michigan and worldwide, for a joyous and meaningful observance of Ramadan, a month of prayer and reflection.

Whereas, This occasion is observed in commitment to the Islamic principles and in remembrance of the month in which the holy Quran was revealed to prophet Muhammad; and

Whereas, This month of sacrifice and contemplation begins on or about the evening of Wednesday, June 17, 2015, based on the visual sightings of the crescent moon. Ramadan continues until on or about the evening of Saturday, July 17, 2015, with Eid al-Fitr, the celebration of Prophet Abraham’s sacrifice; and

Whereas, Muslims in Michigan and around the world will observe Ramadan by fasting, emphasizing self-discipline, worship, and reading the holy Quran to improve patience, humility, modesty, and spirituality; and

Whereas, During Ramadan, Muslims spend this period in reflection and prayer while strengthening the bonds of family, friendship, and community. The month is considered to be the most sacred month of the Islamic calendar; and

Whereas, The Muslim population has been growing in the United States and today’s Muslim American population is a tapestry of ethnic, linguist, social, and economic groups ranging from orthodox to moderate to secular; and

Whereas, Many Muslims have settled in major metropolitan areas and estimates vary widely as to the number of Muslims in the United States. What is certain is that one of the largest and most productive populations of Muslims in the United States resides in southeast Michigan; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by House of Representatives, That the members of this legislative body extend best wishes to Muslims in Michigan and worldwide, for a joyous and meaningful observance of Ramadan, a month of reflection and prayer.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution,

The resolution was adopted.

Reps. McBroom, Greig, Heise, Hooker, Howrylak, Hughes, LaVoy, Phelps and Poleski offered the following resolution:  
**House Resolution No. 100.**

A resolution to declare June 10, 2015, as Dairy Foods Awareness Day in the state of Michigan.

Whereas, Michigan's dairy industry is a leading segment of Michigan agriculture, contributing \$14.7 billion to the state's economy annually. These dollars employ local veterinarians, equipment dealers, and farm employees all providing jobs for Michigan. A dollar spent locally generates twice as much income for the local economy. Dairy represented 20 percent of cash receipts statewide in 2013, including dairy farming, dairy processing and the dairy wholesale/retail sector; and

Whereas, Michigan has 390,000 dairy cows representing approximately 2,000 dairy herds. Ninety-eight percent of Michigan dairy farms are family owned, many by multiple generations of the same family. The average dairy herd in Michigan has 187 cows. Just like businesses grow to support more families, herd sizes increase to allow the next generation to continue farming; and

Whereas, Michigan ranks 7th in milk production in the United States. Dairy cows in Michigan produced approximately 9.6 billion pounds of milk and the average Michigan cow produces approximately 24,638 pounds of milk each year or 2,865 gallons of milk; and

Whereas, Michigan milk is local. Michigan's 1,700 Grade A dairy farms produce more than enough milk to supply the entire state. Surplus milk is exported to help meet demand in other states. In supermarkets and local farmers markets, you can find milk, cheese and yogurt produced by Michigan dairy farmers. When you buy Michigan dairy products, you not only buy local and support area farmers you buy quality. To check where your milk is bottled look for the code. Every container of milk is identified by a 5-digit code and the code includes a 2-digit state code followed by a 3-digit processing plant code. Michigan's code is 26. Only 1.7 percent of the U.S. population produces food for the other 99 percent plus millions worldwide and only a fraction of that produces dairy; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That the members of this legislative body declare June 10, 2015, as Dairy Foods Awareness Day in the state of Michigan.

The question being on the adoption of the resolution,

The resolution was adopted.

Reps. Hoadley, Moss, Plawecki, Smiley, Liberati, Greig, Lane, Faris, Zemke, Chirkun, Rutledge, Dillon, Love, Durhal, Schor, Driskell, Brinks, Chang, Neeley, Greimel, Darany, Singh, Sarah Roberts, Irwin, Derek Miller, Geiss, Cochran, Wittenberg, Yanez, Talabi, Pagan, Kivela, Santana, Phelps, Byrd, Hovey-Wright, Townsend, Guerra, Banks, Brunner, Dianda, Callton, Crawford and Pscholka offered the following resolution:

**House Resolution No. 101.**

A resolution to declare June 2015 as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Pride Month in the state of Michigan.

Whereas, Michigan is a state that is rich in diversity and community and this diversity is demonstrated to all who live, work, and visit our state; and

Whereas, The people of Michigan value this diversity and celebrate the rich variation of persons in our state; and

Whereas, The people of Michigan benefit from the multiple talents, viewpoints, and cultural backgrounds of all of its citizens; and

Whereas, The people of Michigan firmly believed in a society that values the freedom, worth, and dignity of each human being; and

Whereas, The people of Michigan understand and appreciate the cultural, civic, and economic contributions of the gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender communities to the greater community of the state; and

Whereas, Cities throughout Michigan will host and celebrate LGBT Pride events throughout the month of June; and

Whereas, June is recognized and celebrated as LGBT pride month throughout the country and worldwide; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives, That the members of this legislative body declare June 2015 as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Pride Month in the state of Michigan, and be it further

Resolved, That we pledge to continue our efforts in creating and maintaining a state that provides equal opportunity, fair treatment, and human dignity for all people.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Families, Children, and Seniors.

## Second Reading of Bills

### House Bill No. 4495, entitled

A bill to amend 2001 PA 34, entitled "Revised municipal finance act," by amending section 701 (MCL 141.2701), as amended by 2002 PA 500.

Was read a second time, and the question being on the adoption of the proposed substitute (H-1) previously recommended by the Committee on Financial Liability Reform,

The substitute (H-1) was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Somerville moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 5, following line 12, by inserting:

“Enacting section 1. This amendatory act takes effect 90 days after the date it is enacted into law.”.

The motion prevailed and the amendment was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Forlini moved that the bill be placed on the order of Third Reading of Bills.

The motion prevailed.

The Speaker Pro Tempore resumed the Chair.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of

### Third Reading of Bills

#### House Bill No. 4605, entitled

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled “Income tax act of 1967,” by amending section 51 (MCL 206.51), as amended by 2012 PA 223.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

#### Roll Call No. 231

#### Yeas—62

Afendoulis	Garcia	LaFontaine	Potvin
Barrett	Gardon	Lauwers	Price
Bizon	Glenn	Leonard	Pscholka
Bumstead	Goike	Leutheuser	Rendon
Callton	Graves	Lucido	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Heise	Lyons	Runestad
Chatfield	Hooker	Maturen	Sheppard
Cole	Howrylak	McBroom	Somerville
Cotter	Hughes	Miller, A.	Tedder
Courser	Iden	Muxlow	Theis
Cox	Inman	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Crawford	Jacobsen	Outman	VerHeulen
Farrington	Jenkins	Pagel	Victory
Forlini	Johnson	Pettalia	Webber
Franz	Kelly	Poleski	Yonker
Gamrat	Kesto		

#### Nays—47

Banks	Durhal	Kosowski	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Lane	Rutledge
Brunner	Garrett	LaVoy	Santana
Byrd	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Schor
Chang	Geiss	Love	Singh
Chirkun	Greig	McCready	Smiley
Clemente	Greimel	Miller, D.	Talabi
Cochran	Guerra	Moss	Townsend
Darany	Hoadley	Neeley	Wittenberg
Dianda	Hovey-Wright	Pagan	Yanez

Dillon  
Driskell

Irwin  
Kivela

Phelps  
Plawecki

Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.  
Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.  
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Greig, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted no on 4605 and all revenue related bills in this Roads package. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This bill is DOA to the governor and it not realistic. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4606, entitled

A bill to amend 1933 PA 167, entitled “General sales tax act,” by amending section 25 (MCL 205.75), as amended by 2012 PA 226.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 232

### Yeas—64

Afendoulis	Garcia	LaFontaine	Potvin
Barrett	Gardon	Lauwers	Price
Bizon	Glenn	Leonard	Pscholka
Bumstead	Goike	Leutheuser	Rendon
Callton	Graves	Lucido	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Heise	Lyons	Runestad
Chatfield	Hooker	Maturen	Rutledge
Cole	Howrylak	McBroom	Sheppard
Cotter	Hughes	McCready	Somerville
Courseer	Iden	Miller, A.	Tedder
Cox	Inman	Muxlow	Theis
Crawford	Jacobsen	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Farrington	Jenkins	Outman	VerHeulen
Forlini	Johnson	Pagel	Victory
Franz	Kelly	Pettalia	Webber
Gamrat	Kesto	Poleski	Yonker

### Nays—45

Banks	Durhal	Kivela	Plawecki
Brinks	Faris	Kosowski	Roberts, S.
Brunner	Garrett	Lane	Santana
Byrd	Gay-Dagnogo	LaVoy	Schor
Chang	Geiss	Liberati	Singh
Chirkun	Greig	Love	Smiley
Clemente	Greimel	Miller, D.	Talabi
Cochran	Guerra	Moss	Townsend
Darany	Hoadley	Neeley	Wittenberg
Dianda	Hovey-Wright	Pagan	Yanez
Dillon	Irwin	Phelps	Zemke
Driskell			

The House agreed to the title of the bill.  
Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.  
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:  
We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:  
We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.  
Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:  
We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:  
I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.  
If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:  
Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.  
The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.  
We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

#### **House Bill No. 4607, entitled**

A bill to amend 2000 PA 489, entitled “Michigan trust fund act,” by amending sections 7 and 8 (MCL 12.257 and 12.258), as amended by 2014 PA 504.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 233****Yeas—60**

Afendoulis	Garcia	Kesto	Potvin
Barrett	Glardon	LaFontaine	Price
Bumstead	Glenn	Lauwers	Pscholka
Callton	Goike	Leonard	Rendon
Canfield	Graves	Leutheuser	Roberts, B.
Chatfield	Heise	Lucido	Runestad
Cole	Hooker	Maturen	Sheppard
Cotter	Howrylak	McBroom	Somerville
Courser	Hughes	Miller, A.	Tedder
Cox	Iden	Muxlow	Theis
Crawford	Inman	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Farrington	Jacobsen	Outman	VerHeulen
Forlini	Jenkins	Pagel	Victory
Franz	Johnson	Pettalia	Webber
Gamrat	Kelly	Poleski	Yonker

**Nays—49**

Banks	Durhal	Kosowski	Plawecki
Bizon	Faris	Lane	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Garrett	LaVoy	Rutledge
Brunner	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Santana
Byrd	Geiss	Love	Schor
Chang	Greig	Lyons	Singh
Chirkun	Greimel	McCready	Smiley
Clemente	Guerra	Miller, D.	Talabi
Cochran	Hoadley	Moss	Townsend
Darany	Hovey-Wright	Neeley	Wittenberg
Dianda	Irwin	Pagan	Yanez
Dillon	Kivela	Phelps	Zemke
Driskell			

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”



Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

#### **House Bill No. 4608, entitled**

A bill to amend 1984 PA 270, entitled “Michigan strategic fund act,” (MCL 125.2001 to 125.2094) by adding section 15. The bill was read a third time.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

Rep. Iden moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 2, line 6, by striking out all of subsection (4) and inserting:

**“(4) IT IS THE INTENT OF THE LEGISLATURE THAT BEGINNING FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 AND EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, THE PURE MICHIGAN APPROPRIATION LINE ITEM AND THE BUSINESS ATTRACTION AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION APPROPRIATION LINE ITEM SHALL BE FUNDED FROM GENERAL FUND/GENERAL PURPOSE REVENUE APPROPRIATED TO THE FUND AT AN AMOUNT NOT LESS THAN WHAT WAS APPROPRIATED TO THE PURE MICHIGAN APPROPRIATION LINE ITEM AND THE BUSINESS ATTRACTION AND COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION APPROPRIATION LINE ITEM IN FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016.”.**

The motion was seconded and the amendment was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was then passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

#### **Roll Call No. 234**

**Yeas—59**

Afendoulis  
Barrett  
Bumstead  
Callton

Gardon  
Glenn  
Goike  
Graves

LaFontaine  
Lauwers  
Leonard  
Leutheuser

Price  
Pscholka  
Rendon  
Roberts, B.

Canfield	Heise	Lucido	Runestad
Chatfield	Hooker	Maturen	Sheppard
Cole	Howrylak	McBroom	Somerville
Cotter	Hughes	Miller, A.	Tedder
Courseur	Iden	Muxlow	Theis
Crawford	Inman	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Farrington	Jacobsen	Outman	VerHeulen
Forlini	Jenkins	Pagel	Victory
Franz	Johnson	Pettalia	Webber
Gamrat	Kelly	Poleski	Yonker
Garcia	Kesto	Potvin	

### Nays—50

Banks	Driskell	Kosowski	Plawecki
Bizon	Durhal	Lane	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	LaVoy	Rutledge
Brunner	Garrett	Liberati	Santana
Byrd	Gay-Dagnogo	Love	Schor
Chang	Geiss	Lyons	Singh
Chirkun	Greig	McCready	Smiley
Clemente	Greimel	Miller, D.	Talabi
Cochran	Guerra	Moss	Townsend
Cox	Hoadley	Neeley	Wittenberg
Darany	Hovey-Wright	Pagan	Yanez
Dianda	Irwin	Phelps	Zemke
Dillon	Kivela		

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.  
 Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can

pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement: “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement: “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4609, entitled

A bill to amend 1967 PA 281, entitled “Income tax act of 1967,” by amending section 272 (MCL 206.272), as amended by 2011 PA 38.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 235

### Yeas—57

Afendoulis	Garcia	Leonard	Price
Barrett	Gardon	Lucido	Pscholka
Bumstead	Glenn	Lyons	Rendon
Callton	Goike	Maturen	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Graves	McBroom	Runestad
Chatfield	Heise	McCready	Sheppard
Cole	Inman	Miller, A.	Somerville
Cotter	Jacobsen	Muxlow	Tedder
Courser	Jenkins	Nesbitt	Theis
Cox	Johnson	Outman	Vaupel
Crawford	Kelly	Pagel	VerHeulen
Farrington	Kesto	Pettalia	Victory
Forlini	LaFontaine	Poleski	Webber
Franz	Lauwers	Potvin	Yonker
Gamrat			

### Nays—52

Banks	Durhal	Iden	Phelps
Bizon	Faris	Irwin	Plawecki

Brinks	Garrett	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brunner	Gay-Dagnogo	Kosowski	Rutledge
Byrd	Geiss	Lane	Santana
Chang	Greig	LaVoy	Schor
Chirkun	Greimel	Leutheuser	Singh
Clemente	Guerra	Liberati	Smiley
Cochran	Hoadley	Love	Talabi
Darany	Hooker	Miller, D.	Townsend
Dianda	Hovey-Wright	Moss	Wittenberg
Dillon	Howrylak	Neeley	Yanez
Driskell	Hughes	Pagan	Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

The Earned Income Tax Credit is a tool that works to help working families that are struggling financially. This bill essentially raises taxes for 820,000 families in Michigan who are raising one million Michigan children. An MSU study showed that EITC recipients use the funds to pay off debt and bills, and also use the funds for education or transportation. We should be strengthening the EITC and continuing to encourage hard work, not eliminating it.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4610, entitled

A bill to amend 1909 PA 283, entitled “An act to revise, consolidate, and add to the laws relating to the establishment, opening, discontinuing, vacating, closing, altering, improvement, maintenance, and use of the public highways and private roads; the condemnation of property and gravel therefor; the building, repairing and preservation of bridges; maintaining public access to waterways under certain conditions; setting and protecting shade trees, drainage, and cutting weeds and brush within this state; providing for the election or appointment and defining the powers, duties, and compensation of state, county, township, and district highway officials; and to prescribe penalties and provide remedies,” (MCL 220.1 to 239.6) by adding section 19c to chapter IV.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 236

### Yeas—63

Afendoulis	Garcia	LaFontaine	Potvin
Barrett	Glardon	Lauwers	Price
Bizon	Glenn	Leonard	Pscholka
Bumstead	Goike	Leutheuser	Rendon
Callton	Graves	Lucido	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Heise	Lyons	Runestad
Chatfield	Hooker	Maturen	Sheppard
Cole	Howrylak	McBroom	Somerville
Cotter	Hughes	McCready	Tedder
Courser	Iden	Miller, A.	Theis
Cox	Inman	Muxlow	Vaupel
Crawford	Jacobsen	Nesbitt	VerHeulen
Farrington	Jenkins	Outman	Victory
Forlini	Johnson	Pagel	Webber
Franz	Kelly	Pettalia	Yonker
Gamrat	Kesto	Poleski	

### Nays—46

Banks	Durhal	Kosowski	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Lane	Rutledge
Brunner	Garrett	LaVoy	Santana
Byrd	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Schor
Chang	Geiss	Love	Singh
Chirkun	Greig	Miller, D.	Smiley
Clemente	Greimel	Moss	Talabi
Cochran	Guerra	Neeley	Townsend
Darany	Hoadley	Pagan	Wittenberg
Dianda	Hovey-Wright	Phelps	Yanez

Dillon  
Driskell

Irwin  
Kivela

Plawecki

Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Hovey-Wright, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can say they solved the roads problem.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement: “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4611, entitled

A bill to amend 1951 PA 51, entitled “An act to provide for the classification of all public roads, streets, and highways in this state, and for the revision of that classification and for additions to and deletions from each classification; to set up and establish the Michigan transportation fund; to provide for the deposits in the Michigan transportation fund of specific taxes on motor vehicles and motor vehicle fuels; to provide for the allocation of funds from the Michigan transportation fund and the use and administration of the fund for transportation purposes; to promote safe and efficient travel for motor vehicle drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians, and other legal users of roads, streets, and highways; to set up and establish the truck safety fund; to provide for the allocation of funds from the truck safety fund and administration of the fund for truck safety purposes; to set up and establish the Michigan truck safety commission; to establish certain standards for road contracts for certain businesses; to provide for the continuing review of transportation needs within the state; to authorize the state transportation commission, counties, cities, and villages to borrow money, issue bonds, and make pledges of funds for transportation purposes; to authorize counties to advance funds for the payment of deficiencies necessary for the payment of bonds issued under this act; to provide for the limitations, payment, retirement, and security of the bonds and pledges; to provide for appropriations and tax levies by counties and townships for county roads; to authorize contributions by townships for county roads; to provide for the establishment and administration of the state trunk line fund, local bridge fund, comprehensive transportation fund, and certain other funds; to provide for the deposits in the state trunk line fund, critical bridge fund, comprehensive transportation fund, and certain other funds of money raised by specific taxes and fees; to provide for definitions of public transportation functions and criteria; to define the purposes for which Michigan transportation funds may be allocated; to provide for Michigan transportation fund grants; to provide for review and approval of transportation programs; to provide for submission of annual legislative requests and reports; to provide for the establishment and functions of certain advisory entities; to provide for conditions for grants; to provide for the issuance of bonds and notes for transportation purposes; to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local agencies and officials; to provide for the making of loans for transportation purposes by the state transportation department and for the receipt and repayment by local units and agencies of those loans from certain specified sources; and to repeal acts and parts of acts,” by amending section 11c (MCL 247.661c), as amended by 2002 PA 498.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 237

### Yeas—65

Afendoulis	Glardon	Lauwers	Price
Barrett	Glenn	Leonard	Pscholka
Bizon	Goike	Leutheuser	Rendon
Bumstead	Graves	Lucido	Roberts, B.
Callton	Heise	Lyons	Runestad
Canfield	Hooker	Maturen	Rutledge
Chatfield	Howrylak	McBroom	Santana
Cole	Hughes	McCready	Sheppard
Cotter	Iden	Miller, A.	Somerville
Courseer	Inman	Muxlow	Tedder
Cox	Jacobsen	Nesbitt	Theis
Crawford	Jenkins	Outman	Vaupel
Farrington	Johnson	Pagel	VerHeulen
Forlini	Kelly	Pettalia	Victory
Franz	Kesto	Poleski	Webber

Gamrat  
Garcia

LaFontaine

Potvin

Yonker

**Nays—44**

Banks	Driskell	Irwin	Phelps
Brinks	Durhal	Kivela	Plawecki
Brunner	Faris	Kosowski	Roberts, S.
Byrd	Garrett	Lane	Schor
Chang	Gay-Dagnogo	LaVoy	Singh
Chirkun	Geiss	Liberati	Smiley
Clemente	Greig	Love	Talabi
Cochran	Greimel	Miller, D.	Townsend
Darany	Guerra	Moss	Wittenberg
Dianda	Hoadley	Neeley	Yanez
Dillon	Hovey-Wright	Pagan	Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working



families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement: “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

#### **House Bill No. 4612, entitled**

A bill to amend 1949 PA 300, entitled “Michigan vehicle code,” by amending section 801 (MCL 257.801), as amended by 2012 PA 498.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

#### **Roll Call No. 238**

#### **Yeas—59**

Afendoulis	Glardon	Lauwers	Potvin
Barrett	Glenn	Leonard	Price
Bizon	Goike	Leutheuser	Pscholka
Bumstead	Graves	Lucido	Rendon
Callton	Heise	Lyons	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Howrylak	Maturen	Runestad
Chatfield	Hughes	McBroom	Sheppard
Cole	Iden	McCready	Somerville
Cotter	Inman	Miller, A.	Tedder
Cox	Jacobsen	Muxlow	Vaupel
Crawford	Jenkins	Nesbitt	VerHeulen
Farrington	Johnson	Outman	Victory
Forlini	Kelly	Pagel	Webber
Franz	Kesto	Pettalia	Yonker
Garcia	LaFontaine	Poleski	

#### **Nays—50**

Banks	Durhal	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Kosowski	Rutledge
Brunner	Gamrat	Lane	Santana
Byrd	Garrett	LaVoy	Schor
Chang	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Singh
Chirkun	Geiss	Love	Smiley
Clemente	Greig	Miller, D.	Talabi
Cochran	Greimel	Moss	Theis
Courser	Guerra	Neeley	Townsend

Darany  
Dianda  
Dillon  
Driskell

Hoadley  
Hooker  
Hovey-Wright  
Irwin

Pagan  
Phelps  
Plawecki

Wittenberg  
Yanez  
Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Hovey-Wright, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can say they solved the roads problem.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement: “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4613, entitled

A bill to amend 1951 PA 51, entitled “An act to provide for the classification of all public roads, streets, and highways in this state, and for the revision of that classification and for additions to and deletions from each classification; to set up and establish the Michigan transportation fund; to provide for the deposits in the Michigan transportation fund of specific taxes on motor vehicles and motor vehicle fuels; to provide for the allocation of funds from the Michigan transportation fund and the use and administration of the fund for transportation purposes; to promote safe and efficient travel for motor vehicle drivers, bicyclists, pedestrians, and other legal users of roads, streets, and highways; to set up and establish the truck safety fund; to provide for the allocation of funds from the truck safety fund and administration of the fund for truck safety purposes; to set up and establish the Michigan truck safety commission; to establish certain standards for road contracts for certain businesses; to provide for the continuing review of transportation needs within the state; to authorize the state transportation commission, counties, cities, and villages to borrow money, issue bonds, and make pledges of funds for transportation purposes; to authorize counties to advance funds for the payment of deficiencies necessary for the payment of bonds issued under this act; to provide for the limitations, payment, retirement, and security of the bonds and pledges; to provide for appropriations and tax levies by counties and townships for county roads; to authorize contributions by townships for county roads; to provide for the establishment and administration of the state trunk line fund, local bridge fund, comprehensive transportation fund, and certain other funds; to provide for the deposits in the state trunk line fund, critical bridge fund, comprehensive transportation fund, and certain other funds of money raised by specific taxes and fees; to provide for definitions of public transportation functions and criteria; to define the purposes for which Michigan transportation funds may be allocated; to provide for Michigan transportation fund grants; to provide for review and approval of transportation programs; to provide for submission of annual legislative requests and reports; to provide for the establishment and functions of certain advisory entities; to provide for conditions for grants; to provide for the issuance of bonds and notes for transportation purposes; to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local agencies and officials; to provide for the making of loans for transportation purposes by the state transportation department and for the receipt and repayment by local units and agencies of those loans from certain specified sources; and to repeal acts and parts of acts,” by amending sections 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 (MCL 247.660, 247.661, 247.662, 247.663, and 247.664), section 10 as amended by 2007 PA 210, section 11 as amended by 2002 PA 639, sections 12 and 13 as amended by 2012 PA 298, and section 14 as amended by 1987 PA 234.

The bill was read a third time.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

Rep. Victory moved to amend the bill as follows:

1. Amend page 26, line 23, after “EXCEED” by striking out “\$1,000,000.00” and inserting “\$2,000,000.00”.
2. Amend page 41, line 16, after “EXCEEDS” by striking out “\$1,000,000.00” and inserting “\$2,000,000.00”.
3. Amend page 42, line 2, after “EXCEEDS” by striking out “\$1,000,000.00” and inserting “\$2,000,000.00”.
4. Amend page 50, line 15, after “EXCEEDS” by striking out “\$1,000,000.00” and inserting “\$2,000,000.00”.
5. Amend page 50, line 27, after “EXCEEDS” by striking out “\$1,000,000.00” and inserting “\$2,000,000.00”.

The motion was seconded and the amendments were adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor.

The question being on the passage of the bill,

The bill was then passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 239

### Yeas—100

Afendoulis  
Banks  
Barrett

Franz  
Garcia  
Garrett

Kesto  
Kivela  
Kosowski

Phelps  
Plawecki  
Poleski

Bizon	Gay-Dagnogo	LaFontaine	Potvin
Brinks	Geiss	Lane	Price
Brunner	Gardon	Lauwers	Pscholka
Bumstead	Glenn	LaVoy	Rendon
Byrd	Goike	Leonard	Roberts, B.
Callton	Graves	Leutheuser	Runestad
Canfield	Greig	Liberati	Rutledge
Chang	Greimel	Love	Santana
Chatfield	Guerra	Lucido	Sheppard
Chirkun	Heise	Lyons	Singh
Clemente	Hoadley	Maturen	Smiley
Cochran	Hooker	McBroom	Somerville
Cole	Hovey-Wright	McCready	Talabi
Cotter	Howrylak	Miller, A.	Tedder
Cox	Hughes	Miller, D.	Theis
Crawford	Iden	Muxlow	Townsend
Darany	Inman	Neeley	Vaupel
Dianda	Irwin	Nesbitt	VerHeulen
Durhal	Jacobsen	Outman	Victory
Faris	Jenkins	Pagan	Webber
Farrington	Johnson	Pagel	Yonker
Forlini	Kelly	Pettalia	Zemke

#### Nays—9

Courser	Gamrat	Roberts, S.	Wittenberg
Dillon	Moss	Schor	Yanez
Driskell			

In The Chair: Leonard

The House agreed to the title of the bill.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Wittenberg, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive, sustainable and realistic solution to fixing our roads. The package we voted on today is not that. While there are individual parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support any aspect of a piecemeal package that cuts funds from our public schools, local municipalities, community health budget, and shifts the burden to our working class families. We need to talk about a graduated income tax and restructuring our business taxes to bring equality and fairness to our tax code. We need to talk about everyone in the state paying their fair share to fix our roads.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

### House Bill No. 4614, entitled

A bill to amend 2004 PA 175, entitled “Streamlined sales and use tax revenue equalization act,” by amending sections 3 and 5 (MCL 205.173 and 205.175).

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

### Roll Call No. 240

### Yeas—59

Afendoulis	Glardon	Leonard	Price
Bizon	Goike	Leutheuser	Pscholka
Bumstead	Graves	Love	Rendon
Callton	Heise	Lyons	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Howrylak	Maturen	Rutledge
Chatfield	Hughes	McBroom	Santana
Cole	Iden	McCready	Sheppard
Cotter	Inman	Miller, A.	Somerville
Cox	Jacobsen	Muxlow	Tedder
Crawford	Jenkins	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Farrington	Johnson	Outman	VerHeulen
Forlini	Kelly	Pagel	Victory
Franz	Kesto	Pettalia	Webber
Garcia	LaFontaine	Poleski	Yonker
Geiss	Lauwers	Potvin	

### Nays—50

Banks	Driskell	Irwin	Plawecki
Barrett	Durhal	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Kosowski	Runestad
Brunner	Gamrat	Lane	Schor
Byrd	Garrett	LaVoy	Singh
Chang	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Smiley
Chirkun	Glenn	Lucido	Talabi
Clemente	Greig	Miller, D.	Theis
Cochran	Greimel	Moss	Townsend
Courser	Guerra	Neeley	Wittenberg
Darany	Hoadley	Pagan	Yanez
Dianda	Hooker	Phelps	Zemke
Dillon	Hovey-Wright		

The House agreed to the title of the bill.  
Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.  
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Hovey-Wright, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can say they solved the roads problem.”

Rep. Greig, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted no on 4614 and all revenue related bills in this Roads package. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. While I support looking at fuel parity initiatives, we must pass a complete and realistic package solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

#### House Bill No. 4615, entitled

A bill to amend 2000 PA 403, entitled “Motor fuel tax act,” by amending sections 2, 8, 22, 40, 45, 53, 63, 122, 151, 152, 153, 154, and 155 (MCL 207.1002, 207.1008, 207.1022, 207.1040, 207.1045, 207.1053, 207.1063, 207.1122, 207.1151, 207.1152, 207.1153, 207.1154, and 207.1155), sections 2 and 122 as amended by 2002 PA 668 and section 8 as amended by 2006 PA 268.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

#### Roll Call No. 241

#### Yeas—58

Afendoulis	Glardon	Leonard	Price
Bizon	Goike	Leutheuser	Pscholka
Bumstead	Graves	Lyons	Rendon
Callton	Heise	Maturen	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Howrylak	McBroom	Rutledge
Chatfield	Hughes	McCready	Santana
Cole	Iden	Miller, A.	Sheppard
Cotter	Inman	Muxlow	Somerville
Cox	Jacobsen	Nesbitt	Tedder
Crawford	Jenkins	Outman	Vaupel
Farrington	Johnson	Pagel	VerHeulen
Forlini	Kelly	Pettalia	Victory
Franz	Kesto	Poleski	Webber
Garcia	LaFontaine	Potvin	Yonker
Geiss	Lauwers		

#### Nays—51

Banks	Driskell	Irwin	Plawecki
Barrett	Durhal	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Kosowski	Runestad
Brunner	Gamrat	Lane	Schor
Byrd	Garrett	LaVoy	Singh
Chang	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Smiley
Chirkun	Glenn	Love	Talabi
Clemente	Greig	Lucido	Theis
Cochran	Greimel	Miller, D.	Townsend
Courser	Guerra	Moss	Wittenberg
Darany	Hoadley	Neeley	Yanez
Dianda	Hooker	Pagan	Zemke
Dillon	Hovey-Wright	Phelps	

The question being on agreeing to the title of the bill,

Rep. Nesbitt moved to amend the title to read as follows:

A bill to amend 2000 PA 403, entitled "Motor fuel tax act," by amending sections 2, 3, 8, 22, 40, 45, 53, 63, 122, 151, 152, 153, 154, and 155 (MCL 207.1002, 207.1003, 207.1008, 207.1022, 207.1040, 207.1045, 207.1053, 207.1063, 207.1122, 207.1151, 207.1152, 207.1153, 207.1154, and 207.1155), sections 2 and 122 as amended by 2002 PA 668, section 3 as amended by 2006 PA 277, and section 8 as amended by 2006 PA 268.

The motion prevailed.

The House agreed to the title as amended.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.

The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer."

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!"

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents."

Rep. Hovey-Wright, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can say they solved the roads problem."

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
"Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration."



Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
 “Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

#### House Bill No. 4616, entitled

A bill to amend 1980 PA 119, entitled “Motor carrier fuel tax act,” by amending sections 1, 2, 2a, 4, 5, 6a, 8, 9, and 10 (MCL 207.211, 207.212, 207.212a, 207.214, 207.215, 207.216a, 207.218, 207.219, and 207.220), section 1 as amended by 2002 PA 667, sections 2 and 4 as amended by 2006 PA 346, section 2a as added by 1994 PA 353, section 5 as amended and section 6a as added by 1996 PA 584, and section 8 as amended by 2006 PA 449.

Was read a third time and passed, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

#### Roll Call No. 242

#### Yeas—58

Afendoulis	Glardon	Leonard	Price
Bizon	Goike	Leutheuser	Pscholka
Bumstead	Graves	Lyons	Rendon
Callton	Heise	Maturen	Roberts, B.
Canfield	Howrylak	McBroom	Runestad
Chatfield	Hughes	McCready	Santana
Cole	Iden	Miller, A.	Sheppard
Cotter	Inman	Muxlow	Somerville
Cox	Jacobsen	Nesbitt	Tedder
Crawford	Jenkins	Outman	Vaupel
Farrington	Johnson	Pagel	VerHeulen
Forlini	Kelly	Pettalia	Victory
Franz	Kesto	Poleski	Webber
Garcia	LaFontaine	Potvin	Yonker
Geiss	Lauwers		

#### Nays—51

Banks	Driskell	Irwin	Plawecki
Barrett	Durhal	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brinks	Faris	Kosowski	Rutledge
Brunner	Gamrat	Lane	Schor
Byrd	Garrett	LaVoy	Singh
Chang	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Smiley
Chirkun	Glenn	Love	Talabi
Clemente	Greig	Lucido	Theis
Cochran	Greimel	Miller, D.	Townsend
Courser	Guerra	Moss	Wittenberg
Darany	Hoadley	Neeley	Yanez
Dianda	Hooker	Pagan	Zemke
Dillon	Hovey-Wright	Phelps	

The House agreed to the title of the bill.  
Rep. Nesbitt moved that the bill be given immediate effect.  
The motion prevailed, 2/3 of the members serving voting therefor.

---

Rep. Yanez, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This group of bills that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer.”

Rep. Zemke, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to fix our roads and bridges. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can break for the summer. This package unfairly burdens the most vulnerable members of our society, and hurts our economy at the same time. I know that we can and must do better for the people of Michigan.

Thank you!”

Rep. Chang, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. Michigan residents want and deserve a real solution that can help fix our crumbling infrastructure around the state. The package (HB 4605-4616) that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a more comprehensive solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I cannot support a piecemeal package that is such a disservice to our residents.”

Rep. Hovey-Wright, having reserved the right to explain her protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the House, the Senate and be signed by the Governor, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package to give political cover to politicians so they can say they solved the roads problem.”

Rep. Chirkun, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:

“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

I voted against the majority of the road funding package today because I believe it fails to address the issue of properly funding our roads while placing the burden squarely on the backs of those that can least afford it, such as working families, public safety, health care services and education. We need a comprehensive and realistic solution to our roads issue. This smoke and mirrors package that we voted on today is not that. It is a mere Band-Aid, spending nearly \$800 million in general fund money that does not currently exist, based on projected growth which may or may not occur. This is a gamble that I am not willing to make at a time when there is increasing spending pressure already for General Fund dollars. While there are parts of this package I may be able to support as part of a comprehensive and realistic solution that can pass the Legislature and be signed by the Governor into law, I am unwilling to support a piecemeal package based on potential revenues to give political cover to politicians so they can take an early break for the summer.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your consideration.”

Rep. Moss, having reserved the right to explain his protest against the passage of the bill, made the following statement:  
“Mr. Speaker and members of the House:

Michigan’s roads and bridges are in desperate need of repair. That’s why our state needs a workable, comprehensive roads plan that will come up with the more than \$1 billion we need annually for infrastructure repair.

The road package presented today - House Bills 4605-4616 - isn’t that plan. There are elements of this package worthy of future reconsideration but I couldn’t vote for a road funding package that is predicated upon eliminating the Earned Income Tax Credit to find the funding needed.

We need a serious plan to address our roads. Instead, this plan relies on funds that either doesn’t exist or that harms vulnerable families, which is why I voted ‘no.’ I remain committed to developing a real roads package that will work for Michigan.”

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of  
**Messages from the Senate**

**House Bill No. 4188, entitled**

A bill to amend 1973 PA 116, entitled “An act to provide for the protection of children through the licensing and regulation of child care organizations; to provide for the establishment of standards of care for child care organizations; to prescribe powers and duties of certain departments of this state and adoption facilitators; to provide penalties; and to repeal acts and parts of acts,” (MCL 722.111 to 722.128) by adding sections 14e and 14f.

The Senate has substituted (S-1) the bill.

The Senate has passed the bill as substituted (S-1) and ordered that it be given immediate effect.

The Speaker announced that pursuant to Rule 42, the bill was laid over one day.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that Rule 42 be suspended.

The motion prevailed, 3/5 of the members present voting therefor.

The question being on concurring in the substitute (S-1) made to the bill by the Senate,

Rep. Wittenberg moved to amend the Senate substitute (S-1) as follows:

1. Amend page 4, following line 20, by inserting:

**“(7) UPON ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE TO PROVIDE ADOPTION OR FOSTER CARE SERVICES, A CHILD PLACING AGENCY SHALL DISCLOSE TO THE STATE THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THE CHILD PLACING AGENCY WILL DECLINE TO PROVIDE SERVICES AS PROVIDED UNDER SUBSECTION (2).”** and renumbering the remaining subsection.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Wittenberg moved to amend the Senate substitute (S-1) as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 19, after “A” by inserting **“PUBLICLY AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST”**.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Wittenberg moved to amend the Senate substitute (S-1) as follows:

1. Amend page 4, following line 20, by inserting:

**“(7) A CHILD PLACING AGENCY SHALL DISPLAY ON ITS WEBSITE IN AN AREA ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC AND PROMINENTLY IN EACH OF THE CHILD PLACING AGENCY’S OFFICES, THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THE CHILD PLACING AGENCY WOULD DECLINE TO PROVIDE ANY SERVICE AS PROVIDED UNDER SUBSECTION (2).”** and renumbering the remaining subsection.

The motion did not prevail and the amendment was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Rep. Hovey-Wright moved to amend the Senate substitute (S-1) as follows:

1. Amend page 3, line 15, after **“(2)”** by striking out **“TO”** and inserting **“EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (3), TO”**.

2. Amend page 3, following line 21, by inserting:

**“(3) A CHILD PLACING AGENCY SHALL NOT DECLINE TO PROVIDE SERVICES AS PROVIDED UNDER SUBSECTION (2) IF DECLINING TO PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES WOULD NOT BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD.”** and renumbering the remaining subsections.

3. Amend page 4, line 2, after **“AGENCY”** by inserting a comma and **“EXCEPT IF DECLINING THE REFERRAL IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD”**.

4. Amend page 6, line 9, after **“(1)”** by inserting a comma and **“EXCEPT IF DECLINING THE REFERRAL IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILD”**.

The motion did not prevail and the amendments were not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

The question being on concurring in the substitute (S-1) made to the bill by the Senate,

The substitute (S-1) was concurred in, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, by yeas and nays, as follows:

**Roll Call No. 243**

**Yeas—65**

Afendoulis  
 Barrett  
 Bizon

Glardon  
 Glenn  
 Goike

LaFontaine  
 Lauwers  
 Leonard

Potvin  
 Price  
 Pscholka

Bumstead	Graves	Leutheuser	Rendon
Canfield	Heise	Lucido	Roberts, B.
Chatfield	Hooker	Lyons	Runestad
Cole	Howrylak	Maturen	Santana
Cotter	Hughes	McBroom	Sheppard
Courser	Iden	McCready	Somerville
Cox	Inman	Miller, A.	Tedder
Crawford	Jacobsen	Muxlow	Theis
Darany	Jenkins	Nesbitt	Vaupel
Farrington	Johnson	Outman	VerHeulen
Forlini	Kelly	Pagel	Victory
Franz	Kesto	Pettalia	Webber
Gamrat	Kosowski	Poleski	Yonker
Garcia			

#### Nays—44

Banks	Driskell	Irwin	Plawecki
Brinks	Durhal	Kivela	Roberts, S.
Brunner	Faris	Lane	Rutledge
Byrd	Garrett	LaVoy	Schor
Callton	Gay-Dagnogo	Liberati	Singh
Chang	Geiss	Love	Smiley
Chirkun	Greig	Miller, D.	Talabi
Clemente	Greimel	Moss	Townsend
Cochran	Guerra	Neeley	Wittenberg
Dianda	Hoadley	Pagan	Yanez
Dillon	Hovey-Wright	Phelps	Zemke

In The Chair: Leonard

The bill was referred to the Clerk for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

Rep. Nesbitt moved that House Committees be given leave to meet during the balance of today's session. The motion prevailed.

The Speaker Pro Tempore called Associate Speaker Pro Tempore Franz to the Chair.

By unanimous consent the House returned to the order of  
**Announcement by the Clerk of Printing and Enrollment**

The Clerk announced that the following bills had been printed and placed upon the files of the members on Wednesday, June 10:

<b>House Bill Nos.</b>	<b>4688</b>	<b>4689</b>	<b>4690</b>	<b>4691</b>	<b>4692</b>	<b>4693</b>	<b>4694</b>	<b>4695</b>	<b>4696</b>	<b>4697</b>	<b>4698</b>	<b>4699</b>	<b>4700</b>	<b>4701</b>
	<b>4702</b>	<b>4703</b>	<b>4704</b>	<b>4705</b>										
<b>Senate Bill Nos.</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>390</b>					

The Clerk announced that the following Senate bills had been received on Wednesday, June 10:

<b>Senate Bill Nos.</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>351</b>
-------------------------	------------	------------	------------

**Reports of Standing Committees**

The Committee on Appropriations, by Rep. Pscholka, Chair, reported

**House Bill No. 4368, entitled**

A bill to amend 1984 PA 431, entitled "The management and budget act," by amending section 267 (MCL 18.1267), as amended by 2012 PA 237.

With the recommendation that the substitute (H-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

The bill and substitute were referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

## Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Pscholka, Bumstead, Jenkins, Muxlow, Potvin, Kelly, Pagel, VerHeulen, Victory, Afendoulis, Bizon, Canfield, Cox, Gamrat, Inman, Aaron Miller, Santana, Dillon, Irwin, Sarah Roberts, Banks, Singh, Yanez, Zemke, Durhal, Hoadley and Pagan

Nays: None

The Committee on Appropriations, by Rep. Pscholka, Chair, reported

**Senate Bill No. 69, entitled**

A bill to amend 1966 PA 331, entitled "Community college act of 1966," by amending sections 161, 162, and 163 (MCL 389.161, 389.162, and 389.163), as added by 2008 PA 359.

With the recommendation that the substitute (H-1) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

The bill and substitute were referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

## Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Pscholka, Bumstead, Jenkins, Muxlow, Poleski, Potvin, Kelly, Pagel, VerHeulen, Victory, Afendoulis, Bizon, Canfield, Cox, Inman, Aaron Miller, Santana, Dillon, Irwin, Sarah Roberts, Singh, Yanez, Zemke, Durhal, Hoadley and Pagan

Nays: Rep. Gamrat

The Committee on Appropriations, by Rep. Pscholka, Chair, reported

**Senate Bill No. 173, entitled**

A bill to amend 1979 PA 94, entitled "The state school aid act of 1979," by amending sections 11, 11r, 20, 20g, and 22a (MCL 388.1611, 388.1611r, 388.1620, 388.1620g, and 388.1622a), sections 11 and 22a as amended by 2015 PA 5 and sections 20 and 20g as amended and section 11r as added by 2014 PA 196.

With the recommendation that the substitute (H-2) be adopted and that the bill then pass.

The bill and substitute were referred to the order of Second Reading of Bills.

## Favorable Roll Call

To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Pscholka, Bumstead, Jenkins, Muxlow, Poleski, Potvin, Kelly, Pagel, VerHeulen, Victory, Afendoulis, Bizon, Canfield, Cox, Gamrat, Inman, Aaron Miller, Santana, Dillon, Irwin, Banks, Singh, Yanez, Zemke, Durhal, Hoadley and Pagan

Nays: None

The Committee on Appropriations, by Rep. Pscholka, Chair, reported

**Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 15.**

A concurrent resolution to waive the legislative notice requirement for increases in rates of compensation for certain employees in the state classified service.

(For text of resolution, see House Journal No. 56, p. 1349.)

With the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

## Favorable Roll Call

## To Report Out:

Yeas: Reps. Pscholka, Bumstead, Jenkins, Muxlow, Poleski, Potvin, Kelly, Pagel, VerHeulen, Victory, Afendoulis, Bizon, Canfield, Cox, Inman, Aaron Miller, Santana, Dillon, Sarah Roberts, Singh, Yanez, Zemke, Hoadley and Pagan  
Nays: None

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Pscholka, Chair, of the Committee on Appropriations, was received and read:  
Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Pscholka, Bumstead, Jenkins, Muxlow, Poleski, Potvin, Kelly, Pagel, VerHeulen, Victory, Afendoulis, Bizon, Canfield, Cox, Gamrat, Inman, Aaron Miller, Santana, Dillon, Irwin, Sarah Roberts, Banks, Singh, Yanez, Zemke, Durhal, Hoadley and Pagan  
Absent: Rep. McCready  
Excused: Rep. McCready

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Hooker, Chair, of the Committee on Families, Children, and Seniors, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Hooker, Runestad, Forlini, Crawford, Vaupel, Hovey-Wright, Talabi and Liberati

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Lauwers, Chair, of the Committee on Agriculture, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Lauwers, Cole, Franz, Glardon, Johnson, Outman, Rendon, Brett Roberts, Sheppard, Vaupel, Brunner, Darany, Talabi, Driskell and LaVoy  
Absent: Reps. Courser and Garrett  
Excused: Reps. Courser and Garrett

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Price, Chair, of the Committee on Education, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Price, Garcia, Callton, Hooker, McBroom, Somerville, Yonker, Kelly, Chatfield, Courser, Tedder, Zemke, Santana, Brinks, Schor, Chang and Greig

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Rendon, Chair, of the Committee on Tourism and Outdoor Recreation, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Rendon, Goike, Forlini, Pettalia, Cole, Smiley, Brinks and Gay-Dagnogo

## COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The following report, submitted by Rep. Franz, Chair, of the Committee on Regulatory Reform, was received and read:

Meeting held on: Wednesday, June 10, 2015

Present: Reps. Franz, Brett Roberts, Yonker, Kesto, Lauwers, Courser, Crawford, Garcia, Iden, Dianda, Darany, Lane, Schor, Chirkun and Moss

**Messages from the Senate****House Bill No. 4189, entitled**

A bill to amend 1939 PA 288, entitled "Probate code of 1939," (MCL 710.21 to 712B.41) by adding section 23g to chapter X.

The Senate has passed the bill, ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The House agreed to the full title.

The bill was referred to the Clerk for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

**House Bill No. 4190, entitled**

A bill to amend 1939 PA 280, entitled "The social welfare act," (MCL 400.1 to 400.119b) by adding section 5a.

The Senate has passed the bill, ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The House agreed to the full title.

The bill was referred to the Clerk for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

**House Bill No. 4468, entitled**

A bill to amend 1945 PA 327, entitled "Aeronautics code of the state of Michigan," (MCL 259.1 to 259.208) by amending the title, as amended by 2002 PA 90, and by adding chapter VIIA.

The Senate has passed the bill, ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The House agreed to the full title.

The bill was referred to the Clerk for enrollment printing and presentation to the Governor.

**House Bill No. 4245, entitled**

A bill to amend 1986 PA 54, entitled "Building officials and inspectors registration act," by amending sections 7, 9, and 12 (MCL 338.2307, 338.2309, and 338.2312), section 9 as amended by 2013 PA 150.

The Senate has substituted (S-1) the bill.

The Senate has passed the bill as substituted (S-1), ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The Speaker announced that pursuant to Rule 42, the bill was laid over one day.

**House Bill No. 4447, entitled**

A bill to amend 1978 PA 368, entitled "Public health code," by amending sections 6237, 6238, 20104, 20106, 20145, 20155, 20161, 20501, 20521, and 20551 (MCL 333.6237, 333.6238, 333.20104, 333.20106, 333.20145, 333.20155, 333.20161, 333.20501, 333.20521, and 333.20551), sections 6237 and 6238 as amended by 2012 PA 501, section 20104 as amended by 2010 PA 381, section 20106 as amended by 2014 PA 449, section 20145 as amended by 2004 PA 469, section 20155 as amended by 2012 PA 322, and section 20161 as amended by 2013 PA 137; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

The Senate has substituted (S-1) the bill.

The Senate has passed the bill as substituted (S-1), ordered that it be given immediate effect and pursuant to Joint Rule 20, inserted the full title.

The Speaker announced that pursuant to Rule 42, the bill was laid over one day.

**Senate Bill No. 321, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled "Revised judicature act of 1961," by amending sections 519 and 8139 (MCL 600.519 and 600.8139), section 519 as amended by 2012 PA 38.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

**Senate Bill No. 336, entitled**

A bill to prescribe the blue alert of Michigan as the official response to reports of serious injury or death of a law enforcement officer in certain circumstances; and to provide for the powers and duties of certain state and local governmental officers and entities.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Criminal Justice.

**Senate Bill No. 351, entitled**

A bill to amend 1961 PA 236, entitled "Revised judicature act of 1961," (MCL 600.101 to 600.9947) by adding section 914.

The Senate has passed the bill.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

**Messages from the Governor**

Date: June 8, 2015

Time: 12:16 p.m.

To the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

Sir—I have this day approved and signed

**Enrolled House Bill No. 4060 (Public Act No. 44, I.E.), being**

An act to amend 1979 PA 94, entitled "An act to make appropriations to aid in the support of the public schools, the intermediate school districts, community colleges, and public universities of the state; to make appropriations for certain other purposes relating to education; to provide for the disbursement of the appropriations; to authorize the issuance of certain bonds and provide for the security of those bonds; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state departments, the state board of education, and certain other boards and officials; to create certain funds and provide for their expenditure; to prescribe penalties; and to repeal acts and parts of acts," (MCL 388.1601 to 388.1896) by adding section 275b.

(Filed with the Secretary of State June 9, 2015, at 4:06 p.m.)

Date: June 9, 2015

Time: 8:20 a.m.

To the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

Sir—I have this day approved and signed

**Enrolled House Bill No. 4467 (Public Act No. 49, I.E.), being**

An act to amend 1953 PA 232, entitled "An act to revise, consolidate, and codify the laws relating to probationers and probation officers, to pardons, reprieves, commutations, and paroles, to the administration of correctional institutions, correctional farms, and probation recovery camps, to prisoner labor and correctional industries, and to the supervision and inspection of local jails and houses of correction; to provide for the siting of correctional facilities; to create a state department of corrections, and to prescribe its powers and duties; to provide for the transfer to and vesting in said department of powers and duties vested by law in certain other state boards, commissions, and officers, and to abolish certain boards, commissions, and offices the powers and duties of which are transferred by this act; to allow for the operation of certain facilities by private entities; to prescribe the powers and duties of certain other state departments and agencies; to provide for the creation of a local lockup advisory board; to provide for a lifetime electronic monitoring program; to prescribe penalties for the violation of the provisions of this act; to make certain appropriations; to repeal certain parts of this act on specific dates; and to repeal all acts and parts of acts inconsistent with the provisions of this act," by amending section 20i (MCL 791.220i), as amended by 2012 PA 599.

(Filed with the Secretary of State June 9, 2015, at 4:16 p.m.)

Date: June 8, 2015

Time: 12:20 p.m.

To the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

Sir—I have this day approved and signed

**Enrolled House Bill No. 4071 (Public Act No. 50, I.E.), being**

An act to amend 1970 PA 91, entitled "An act to declare the inherent rights of minor children; to establish rights and duties to their custody, support, and parenting time in disputed actions; to establish rights and duties to provide support for a child after the child reaches the age of majority under certain circumstances; to provide for certain procedure and appeals; and to repeal certain acts and parts of acts," by amending section 7a (MCL 722.27a), as amended by 2012 PA 600.

(Filed with the Secretary of State June 9, 2015, at 4:18 p.m.)



Date: June 8, 2015  
Time: 12:22 p.m.

To the Speaker of the House of Representatives:

Sir—I have this day approved and signed

**Enrolled House Bill No. 4482 (Public Act No. 51, I.E.), being**

An act to amend 1970 PA 91, entitled “An act to declare the inherent rights of minor children; to establish rights and duties to their custody, support, and parenting time in disputed actions; to establish rights and duties to provide support for a child after the child reaches the age of majority under certain circumstances; to provide for certain procedure and appeals; and to repeal certain acts and parts of acts,” by amending section 2 (MCL 722.22), as amended by 2005 PA 327. (Filed with the Secretary of State June 9, 2015, at 4:20 p.m.)

### Introduction of Bills

Reps. Byrd, Chang, Gay-Dagnogo, Santana, Banks, Pagan, Love, Geiss, Townsend, Zemke, Driskell, Durhal, Hovey-Wright, Plawecki, Glenn, Garrett, Cochran, Schor, Greig, Yanez, Talabi, Rutledge, Kosowski, Hoadley and Leutheuser introduced

**House Bill No. 4706, entitled**

A bill to amend 1941 PA 122, entitled “An act to establish the revenue collection duties of the department of treasury; to prescribe its powers and duties as the revenue collection agency of this state; to prescribe certain powers and duties of the state treasurer; to establish the collection duties of certain other state departments for money or accounts owed to this state; to regulate the importation, stamping, and disposition of certain tobacco products; to provide for the transfer of powers and duties now vested in certain other state boards, commissions, departments, and offices; to prescribe certain duties of and require certain reports from the department of treasury; to provide procedures for the payment, administration, audit, assessment, levy of interests or penalties on, and appeals of taxes and tax liability; to prescribe its powers and duties if an agreement to act as agent for a city to administer, collect, and enforce the city income tax act on behalf of a city is entered into with any city; to provide an appropriation; to abolish the state board of tax administration; to prescribe penalties and provide remedies; and to declare the effect of this act,” by amending section 13 (MCL 205.13), as amended by 2006 PA 615.

The bill was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Tax Policy.

Rep. Glenn introduced

**House Joint Resolution R, entitled**

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the state constitution of 1963, by amending section 37 of article IV, to allow the legislature to disapprove any rule, regulation, or enforcement action of an executive branch agency.

The joint resolution was read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on Government Operations.

---

Rep. Kesto moved that the House adjourn.  
The motion prevailed, the time being 5:20 p.m.

Associate Speaker Pro Tempore Franz declared the House adjourned until Thursday, June 11, at 12:00 Noon.

GARY L. RANDALL  
Clerk of the House of Representatives

