

Act No. 226
Public Acts of 2014
Approved by the Governor
June 21, 2014
Filed with the Secretary of State
June 26, 2014
EFFECTIVE DATE: June 26, 2014

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
97TH LEGISLATURE
REGULAR SESSION OF 2014**

Introduced by Reps. Jacobsen, Daley, Kelly, Johnson, Kowall, Lauwers, Jenkins, MacGregor, Haines, Victory, Bumstead, Somerville, Graves, Schmidt, McCready, Potvin, Pagel, Shirkey and Price

ENROLLED HOUSE BILL No. 5335

AN ACT to codify the liability of possessors of land for injuries to trespassers.

The People of the State of Michigan enact:

Sec. 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the “trespass liability act”.

Sec. 3. (1) A possessor of a fee, reversionary, or easement interest in land, including an owner, lessee, or other lawful occupant, owes no duty of care to a trespasser and is not liable to a trespasser for physical harm caused by the possessor’s failure to exercise reasonable care to put the land in a condition reasonably safe for the trespasser or to carry on activities on the land so as not to endanger trespassers.

(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), a possessor of land may be subject to liability for physical injury or death to a trespasser if any of the following apply:

(a) The possessor injured the trespasser by willful and wanton misconduct.

(b) The possessor was aware of the trespasser’s presence on the land, or in the exercise of ordinary care should have known of the trespasser’s presence on the land, and failed to use ordinary care to prevent injury to the trespasser arising from active negligence.

(c) The possessor knew, or from facts within the possessor’s knowledge should have known, that trespassers constantly intrude on a limited area of the land and the trespasser was harmed as a result of the possessor’s failure to carry on an activity in that limited area involving a risk of death or serious bodily harm with reasonable care for the trespasser’s safety.

(d) The trespasser is a child injured by an artificial condition on the land and all of the following apply:

(i) The possessor knew or had reason to know that a child would be likely to trespass on the place where the condition existed.

(ii) The possessor knew or had reason to know of the condition and realized or should have realized that the condition would involve an unreasonable risk of death or serious bodily harm to a child.

(iii) The injured child, because of his or her youth, did not discover the condition or realize the risk involved in intermeddling with it or in coming within the area made dangerous by it.

(iv) The utility to the possessor of maintaining the condition and the burden of eliminating the danger were slight as compared with the risk to the child.

(v) The possessor failed to exercise reasonable care to eliminate the danger or otherwise to protect the child.

(3) This section does not increase the liability of a possessor of land and does not affect any immunity from or defenses to civil liability established by or available under the statutes or common law of this state to which a possessor of land is entitled.

This act is ordered to take immediate effect.



Clerk of the House of Representatives



Secretary of the Senate

Approved

Governor